# Parallel Erdős-Gallai algorithm

Antal Iványi <sup>1,3</sup> · Loránd Lucz <sup>2</sup>

Received: NOVEMBER 26, 2011 / Accepted: date

Abstract Havel in 1955 [15], Erdős and Gallai in 1960 [10], Hakimi in 1962 [13], Tripathi, Venugopalan and West in 2010 [36] proposed a method to decide, whether a sequence of nonnegative integers can be the degree sequence of a simple graph. The running time of their algorithms in worst case is  $\Omega(n^2)$ . In [19] the authors proposed a new algorithm called EGL (Erdős-Gallai Linear algorithm), whose worst running time is  $\Theta(n)$ . As an application of this linear time algorithm we describe Erdős-Gallai-Enumerative algorithm and using its parallel version enumerate the different degree sequences of simple graphs for 24, ..., 29 vertices (compare with [33]).

**Keywords** Degree sequences  $\cdot$  Graphical sequences  $\cdot$  Erdős-Gallai theorem  $\cdot$  Parallel algorithms

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 05C20, 05C85, 68R10

# 1 Introduction

In the practice an often appearing problem is the ranking of different objects as economical decisions, hardware or software products, cars, persons etc. A typical method of the ranking is the pairwise comparison of the objects, assignment of points to the objects and sorting the objects according to the sums of the numbers of the received points.

For example Landau [25] references to biological, Hakimi [13] to chemical, Kim et al. [23], Newman and Barabási [29] to net-centric, Anholzer, Bozóki, Fülöp, Koczkodaj, Poesz, Rónyai and Temesi to economical [2,4–6,35], Liljeros et al. [26] to human applications, while Frank, Iványi, Lucz, Móri, Sótér and Pirzada [12,16–21] to applications in sports.

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Department of Computer Algebra, Eötvös Loránd University. Mail: 1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány $1/{\rm C},$  Hungary. E-mail: tony@compalg.inf.elte.hu

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ Department of Computer Algebra, Eötvös Loránd University. Mail: 1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány $1/{\rm C},$  Hungary. E-mail: lorand.lucz@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Corresponding author

Let  $n \ge 1$ . We will denote the degrees of a simple graph as  $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_n)$ . We call a sequence  $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$  *n*-bounded, if  $0 \le b_i \le n-1$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , and *n*-regular, if the conditions  $n-1 \ge b_1 \ge \cdots \ge b_n \ge 0$  hold, and *n*-even, if the sum of the elements of b is even. If there exists a graph with n vertices which has the degree sequence b, then we say that b is *n*-graphical.

The main aim of this paper is to report on the parallel realization of the linear ERDŐS-GALLAI algorithm. Although this problem is interesting in itself, for us the main motivation was our wish to answer the question formulated in the recent monograph [12, Research problem 2.3.1] András Frank: "Decide if a sequence of n integers can be the final score of a football tournament of n teams." During testing and reconstructing of potential football sequences important subproblem is the handling of sequences of draws. And the problems "Is this sequence graphical?" and "Is this sequence a football draw sequence?" are equivalent, therefore the quick answer is vital for us.

The structure of the paper is as follows. After the introductory Section 1 in Section 2 we describe two classical quadratic testing algorithms, then in Section 3 we explain a new linear time algorithm. Section 4 contains the description of the enumerative version of the new linear algorithm, while in Section 5 its parallel implementation is explained. Finally in the last Section 6 we summarize the results.

## 2 Classical algorithms (Havel-Hakimi and Erdős-Gallai)

In this section we describe two classical testing algorithms due to Václav Havel and Louis Hakimi, resp. Paul Erdős and Tibor Gallai.

#### 2.1 Havel-Hakimi algorithm (HH)

This algorithm was published by Václav Havel Czech mathematician in 1955 [15]. Later Louis Hakimi in 1962 [13] independently published the same result, therefore today the theorem usually is called *Havel-Hakimi theorem*.

**Theorem 1** (Hakimi [13], Havel [15]) If  $n \ge 1$ , then the n-regular sequence  $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$  is n-graphical if and only if the sequence

$$b' = (b_2 - 1, b_3 - 1, \dots, b_{b_1} - 1, b_{b_1+1} - 1, b_{b_1+2}, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n)$$
(1)

is (n-1)-graphical.

*Proof* See [13, 15].

Let  $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  and  $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_n)$  be *n*-bounded sequences. In 1965 Hakimi [14] presented a necessary and sufficient condition for c and d to be the in-degree, resp. out-degree sequence of a loopless multigraph. In 2009 this theorem was extended for directed (a, b)-graphs [16,17]. In 2010 the special case of directed (0, 1)-graphs was considered in [11,24].

Since the direct implementation of the theorem sorts the investigated sequence in each round, it is slow: the worst running time is quadratic even in that case when we use a linear time sorting algorithm.

#### 2.2 Erdős-Gallai algorithm (EG)

In 1960 Paul Erdős and Tibor Gallai [10] Hungarian mathematicians proposed a necessary and sufficient condition to decide, whether an n-regular sequence is n-graphical or not.

**Theorem 2** (Erdős, Gallai [10]) If  $n \ge 1$ , then the *n*-regular sequence  $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$  is *n*-graphical if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \quad \text{is even} \tag{2}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j} b_i - j(j-1) \le \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} \min(j, b_k) \quad (j = 1, \dots, n-1).$$
(3)

Proof See [7, 10].

In 2010 Tripathi, Venugopalanb and West [36] published a constructive proof, which not only tests the input sequence, but for graphical inputs also constructs a corresponding simple graph. The worst running time of the algorithm based on their proof is  $\Theta(n^3)$ .

Chungphaisan in 1974 [8], Özkan in 2011 [30] extended Erdős-Gallai theorem for (0, r)-graphs.

The following algorithm is based on Theorem 2. In this paper we use the pseudocode prescribed in [9].

Input. n: the length of the input sequence;

 $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n) : n$ -regular input sequence.

Output. L : logical variable (L = FALSE shows, that b is not graphical, while if b is graphical, then the returned value is L = TRUE).

Working variable. t: the estimated capacity of the actual tail.

ERDŐS-GALLAI(n, b, L)// lines 01–03: computation of the values of the vector H01  $H_1 = b_1$ 02 for i = 2 to n03 $H_i = H_{i-1} + b_i$ // lines 04–06: checking of the parity 04 if  $H_n$  is odd L = FALSE// lines 05–06: refuse of the nongraphical sequences 0506return L07 for i = 1 to n - 1// lines 07–15: checking of the input t = 0// line 08: initialization of t08 09for k = i + 1 to n// lines 09–10: computation of the tail capacity 10 $t = t + \min(i, b_k)$ **if**  $H_i - i(i-1) > t$ // line 11: check the necessary condition 11 12L = FALSE// lines 12–13: the input is nongraphical 13return L14 L = True// lines 14–15: the input is graphical 15 return L

The memory requirement of the algorithm EG is  $\Theta(n)$ , he running time varies between the best  $\Theta(n)$  and the worst  $\Theta(n^2)$ .

# 3 Linear Erdős-Gallai algorithm (EGL)

In 2011 we proposed a new algorithm ERDŐS-GALLAI-LINEAR (EGL) based on the next theorem that even in worst case needs only  $\Theta(n)$  time to decide whether the input sequence is graphical.

**Theorem 3** (Iványi, Lucz [18], Iványi, Lucz, Móri, Sótér [19]) If  $n \ge 1$ , then the *n*-regular sequence  $(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$  is *n*-graphical if and only if

$$H_n$$
 is even (4)

$$H_i \le i(Y_i - 1) + H_n - H_{Y_i},$$
(5)

where

$$Y_i(b) = Y_i = \begin{cases} W_i, & \text{if } i \le W_i, \\ i, & \text{if } i > W_i. \end{cases}$$
(6)

Proof See in [18, 19].

The following program is based on Theorem 3. It decides on arbitrary n-regular sequence whether it is n-graphical or not.

Input. n: number of vertices  $(n \ge 1)$ ;

 $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ : *n*-regular sequence.

*Output.* L: logical variable, whose value is TRUE, if the input is graphical, and it is FALSE, if the input is not graphical.

Work variables. i and j: cycle variables;

 $H = (H_1, \ldots, H_n)$ :  $H_i$  is the cumulated degree of the first *i* elements of the tested *b*; *w*: the weight point of the actual  $b_i$ , that is the maximum of the indices of such elements of *b*, which are not smaller than *i*;

y: the cutting point of the actual  $b_i$  that is the maximum of i and w.

ERDŐS-GALLAI-LINEAR(n, b, L)// line 01: initialization 01  $H_1 = b_1$ 02 for i = 2 to n// line 02–03: computation of the elements of H $H_i = H_{i-1} + b_i$ // line 04–06: test of the parity 0304 if  $H_n$  is odd L = FALSE0506 return  $07 \ w = n$ // line 07: initialization of the weight point 08 for i = 1 to n// lines 08–14: test of the elements of b09while w > 0 and  $b_w < i$ 10w = w - 1 $y = \max(i, w)$ 11 **if**  $H_i > i(y-i) + H_n - H_y$ 12 // lines 13–14: rejection of b13L = FALSE14return L15 L = True// lines 15–16: b is graphical 16 return L

The memory requirement of this algorithm is  $\Theta(n)$ , the time requirement changes between the best  $\Theta(n)$  and the worst  $\Theta(n^2)$ .

It is worth to remark that using a linear sorting algorithm and ERDŐS-GALLAI-LINEAR we can test even unsorted sequences in linear time.

#### 4 Enumerating Erdős-Gallai algorithm (EGE)

A classical problem of the graph theory the enumeration of the degree sequences of different graphs– among others simple graphs. For example *The On-Line Encyclopedia* of *Integer Sequences* [33] contains only for n = 1, ..., 23 vertices the number of degree sequences of simple graphs (the last values for n = 20, 21, 22 were set in July of 2011).

Therefore we apply the new quick EGL to get these numbers for larger number of vertices.

Our starting point was to test all regular sequences and so enumerate the graphical ones. It is easy to see that there are

$$R(n) = \binom{2n-1}{n}.$$
(7)

In 1987 Ascher derived the following explicit formula for the number of *n*-even sequences E(n).

**Lemma 1** (Ascher [1], Sloane, Pfoffe [34]) If  $n \ge 1$ , then the number of n-even sequences E(n) is

$$E(n) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \binom{2n-1}{n} + \binom{n-1}{\lfloor n \rfloor} \right).$$
(8)

Due to the following lemma and its consequence it is enough to test only the zerofree even sequences.

**Lemma 2** (Iványi, Lucz, Móri, Sótér [19]) If  $n \ge 2$ , then the number of n-graphical sequences G(n) can be computed from the number of (n-1)-graphical sequences G(n-1) and the number of n-graphical zero-free sequences  $G_z(n)$ :

$$G(n) = G(n-1) + G_z(n).$$
 (9)

This assertion has the following consequence.

Corollary 1 If  $n \ge 1$  then

$$G(n) = 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} G_z(n).$$
 (10)

Using the parallel version of EGE we computed  $G_n$  till n = 29. These numbers can be found in Table 1.

Due to Corollary 1 it is enough to check all of the *n*-regular zero-free sequences to compute the number of all *n*-graphical ones. This is a very important idea because only one fourth of the *n*-regular even sequences are zero-free [19], so we can safe one fourth of the work.

**Lemma 3** If  $b = (b_1, ..., b_n)$  is a nongraphical sequence and  $b_n \ge 3$ , then the sequence  $b' = (b_1, ..., b_{n-1}, c)$  is also nongraphical for every c with  $0 \le c \le b_{n-1}$ .

n	R(n)	E(n)	G(n)
1	1	1	1
2	3	2	2
3	10	6	4
4	35	19	11
5	126	66	31
6	462	236	102
7	1 716	868	342
8	6 435	3 235	1 213
9	24 310	12  190	4 361
10	92 378	$46 \ 252$	16 016
11	$352\ 716$	176 484	59 348
12	1 352 078	676 270	222 117
13	5 200 300	$2\ 600\ 612$	836 315
14	20 058 300	10 030 008	$3\ 166\ 852$
15	$77\ 558\ 760$	38 781 096	$12 \ 042 \ 620$
16	300 540 195	$150\ 273\ 315$	$45 \ 967 \ 479$
17	$1\ 166\ 803\ 110$	$583 \ 407 \ 990$	$176 \ 005 \ 709$
18	$4\ 537\ 567\ 650$	$2\ 268\ 795\ 980$	675 $759$ $564$
19	$17\ 672\ 631\ 900$	8 836 340 260	$2\ 600\ 672\ 458$
20	$68 \ 923 \ 264 \ 410$	$34 \ 461 \ 678 \ 394$	$10\ 029\ 832\ 754$
21	$269\ 128\ 937\ 220$	$134 \ 564 \ 560 \ 988$	$38\ 753\ 710\ 486$
22	$1\ 052\ 049\ 481\ 860$	$526\ 024\ 917\ 288$	$149 \ 990 \ 133 \ 774$
23	$4\ 116\ 715\ 363\ 800$	$2\ 058\ 358\ 034\ 616$	$581 \ 393 \ 603 \ 996$
24	$16\ 123\ 801\ 841\ 550$	$8\ 061\ 901\ 596\ 814$	$2 \ 256 \ 710 \ 139 \ 346$
25	$63 \ 205 \ 303 \ 218 \ 876$	$31 \ 602 \ 652 \ 961 \ 516$	$8\ 770\ 547\ 818\ 956$
26	$247 \ 959 \ 266 \ 474 \ 052$	$123 \ 979 \ 635 \ 837 \ 176$	$34 \ 125 \ 389 \ 919 \ 850$
27	$973\ 469\ 712\ 824\ 056$	$486\ 734\ 861\ 612\ 328$	132  919  443  189  544
28	$3\ 824\ 345\ 300\ 380\ 220$	$1 \ 912 \ 172 \ 660 \ 219 \ 260$	$518 \ 232 \ 001 \ 761 \ 434$
29	$15\ 033\ 633\ 249\ 770\ 520$	$7\ 516\ 816\ 644\ 943\ 559$	$2 \ 022 \ 337 \ 118 \ 015 \ 338$

Table 1 Number of regular, even and graphical sequences.

Taking into account these results we have to test only one tenth of the regular sequences.

Two results due to Tripathi and Vijay [37, Lemma 6, Theorem 7, Corollary 17] allow to reduce the testing time with more than 50 percent.

The following algorithm ERDŐS-GALLAI-ENUMERATING (EGE) is an enumerative version of EGL. In this algorithm we use some techniques to speed up the computing process. From one side we we check only zero-free, even sequences. And we used lexico-graphical order, so most of the operations could be saved by updating the old values. We were updating the following values.

- $H_i$  values: most of the time the only thing that is changing is the last element of the sequence b, so it is enough to update the last H values, according to the changes of the values of b.
- $-C_i$  checkpoints: if we modify the *i*th element of the sequences then the values before that point remain the same so all of the checkpoint before that will be the same, so we update only the first one before the *i*th index and all of them after it.
- $W_i$  weight points: every time the checking algorithm got a sequence to check we update the weight points we use, but we never start from 1 or n. We use the last value we used when we checked a sequence in that index. We have a distinct weight point for every i index and we just shift the value to left or right.

 $-Y_i$  cutting points:

We suppose that n, b, H, c, C, W and Y are global variables, therefore their **return** does not require additional time.

Important property of EGE is that it solves in  $\Theta(1)$  average time

- the generation of one zerofree even sequence;
- the updating of the sequence of the cumulated degrees H;
- the updating of the sequence of the checking points C;
- the updating of the sequence of the weight points W.

The average running time of this algorithm for a sequence is  $\Theta(1)$ , so the total running time of the whole program is  $\Theta(E(n))$ .

ERDŐS-GALLAI-ENUMERATING $(n, G_z)$ 

01 for i = 1 to n// lines 01–09: initialization 02 $b_i = n - 1$  $H_i = i(n-1)$ 0304 $W_i = n$ 05 $y_i = n - 1$  $C_i = 0$ 06 $07 \ G_z = 1$  $08 \ c = 0$  $09 \ b_{n+1} = -1$ 10 while  $b_2 \geq 2$  or  $b_1 \geq 3$ // line 10: last sequence was? if  $b_n \geq 3$  NEW3(n, b, H, c, C, W) // lines 11–13: generate the next sequence 11 12if  $b_n = 2$  NEW2(n, b, H, c, C, W)13if  $b_n = 1$  NEW1(n, b, H, c, C, W)14CHECK(n, b, H, c, W)// line 12: checks and updates the parameters  $G_z = G_z + L$ // line 13: increasing of  $G_z$ 1516 print  $G_z$ // line 14: final result

This algorithm uses two procedures. NEW generates a new sequences and update the key parameters, while CHECK decides whether the actually investigated sequence is graphical or not.

In CHECK we use condition (2) of Erdős-Gallai theorem.

CHECK(n, b, H, c, C, W)01 for i = 1 to c// lines 01–07: checking in checkpoints // line 02: computation of the actual cutting point 02 $y = \max w, i$ 03**if**  $H_i > i(y-1) + H_n - H_y$ // line 03–05: EG checking L = 00405return L06 L = 1// line 06–07: b is graphical 07 return L

New1(n, b, H, c, C)

if  $b_n == b_{n-1} - 3$ 

// line 01–06: generation if  $b_n = 3$ 

07W0 **Feturn** H, C, WNew2(n, b, H, c, C)01 if  $b_n == 2$ if  $b_n = b_{n-1} - 1$ 0203 $H_n = H_n - 1$ 04c = c - 105if  $b_{n-1}$  is odd 06 if  $b_{n-2} = b_{n-1} + 1$ 07c = c - 108 W = ???09 return H, C, W

// line 08–16: generation if  $b_n = 2$ 

NEW3 is similar to NEW2 although more complicated.

# 5 Parallel Erdős-Gallai algorithm (EGP)

To use our new linear time algorithm on a bunch of series we need an algorithm that can work on a part of all series we want to check. From now we will use the notation G(n) for the number of n-graphical series and  $G_z(n)$  for the number of n-graphical zero-free series. The reason to use  $z_n$  values is the following lemma.

Using our *Parallel* algorithm we computed this number till n = 29. These number can be found in Table 1.

Originally we used a server-client application consisting of two parts: server and client. The server had all the information to distribute jobs between client machines and collect results from them and the client had the IP address and the PORT of the server to ask for a job. To compute  $G_z(n)$  value on multiple computers first we need to decompose the whole problem to smaller parts so called *jobs*, that we could pass to them. This is one of the most critical part of the parallel algorithm: divide the problem into almost same sized jobs. The next equation helps us to count approximately how many sequences starts with a fixed head. By knowing these numbers we can generate jobs with limited size, so every job is smaller than that maximum.

```
GENERATE-MATRIX(n, MaxSize)
01 for i = n downto 2
                                                           \triangleright Lines 01–05: filling up the matrix
02
          for j = 1 to n - 1
              M_{i,j} = \binom{i+j-2}{i-1}
03
04
          end
05 \text{ end}
06 for j = n - 1 downto 1
                                                 \triangleright Lines 06–08: fill up the first line in matrix
07
          M_{1,j} = 1
08 end
```

8

02

03

0405

06

01 if  $b_n \ge 3$ 

 $b_n = b_n - 2$  $H_n = H_n - 2$ 

> c = c + 1 $C_c = n - 1$

#### 09 Generate-Sequences(M, n, n, 1, n - 1, MaxSize, 0)

 $\triangleright$  Line 09: job generation

This algorithm give us a matrix filled up with values computed with the equation. Now, we can generate the sequences by read out the last row from the matrix from left to right. If a value is too big and not fit into a job, then we can move one line above that value and read that line from the first column until the one that was too big and we can continue this technique until we got size of parts we need. The next (recursive) algorithms reads out the last row with this method.

In the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [33] you can find numbers of degree-vectors for simple graphs, that consist of n vertices, where n is from 1 to 23. Originally we used a server-client application consisting of two parts: server and client. The server had all the information to distribute jobs between client machines and collect results from them and the client had the IP address and the PORT of the server to ask for a job.

During the calculations we used more than two hundred computers and our theoretical maximal performance was over 6 TFLOPS based on the processors information we found on the manufacturers home pages.

## 6 Summary

Acknowledgements The authors are indebted to Zoltán Király (Eötvös Loránd University, Faculty of Science, Dept. of Operation Research) for the useful continuous consultation, Antal Sándor and his colleagues (Eötvös Loránd University, Faculty of Informatics), Ádám Mányoki (TFM World Kereskedelmi és Szolgáltató Kft.) and Zoltán Kása (Sapientia Hungarian University of Transylvania) for their help in running of our timeconsuming programs. The European Union and the European Social Fund have provided financial support to the project under the grant agreement no. TÁMOP 4.2.1/B-09/1/KMR-2010-0003.

# References

- 1. Ascher M (1987) Mu torere: an analysis of a Maori game, Math. Mag<br/>  $60(2){:}90{-}100$
- Anholcer M, Babiy V, Bozóki S, Koczkodaj W. V (2011) A simplified implementation of the least squares solution for pairwise comparisons matrices. Central Eur J Oper Res 19(4):439– 444
- 3. Barnes TM, Savage CD (1997) Efficient generation of graphical partitions. Discrete Appl Math 78:17–26
- Bozóki S, Fülöp J, Koczkodaj WW (2011) An LP-based inconsistency monitoring of pairwise comparison matrices. Math Comp Modelling 54:789–793
- 5. Bozóki S, Fülöp J, Poesz A (2011) On pairwise comparison matrices that can be made consistent by the modification of a few elements. Central Eur J Oper Res 19(2):157–175
- 6. Bozóki S, Fülóp J, Rónyai L (2010) On optimal completion of incomplete pairwise comparison matrices. Math Comput Modelling 52:318–333
- 7. Choudum, SA (1986) A simple proof of the Erdős-Gallai theorem on graph sequences. Bull Austral Math Soc<br/>  $33:67{-}70$
- 8. Chungphaisan, V (1974) Conditions for sequences to be *r*-graphical. Discrete Math. 7:31–39 9. Cormen TH, Leiserson ChE, Rivest RL, Stein C (2009) Introduction to Algorithms (Third
- edition). The MIT Press/McGraw Hill, Cambridge/New York
- Erdős P, Gallai T (1960) Graphs with prescribed degree sequence (Hungarian). Mat Lapok 11:264–274
- 11. Erdős P, Miklós I, Toroczkai Z (2010) A simple Havel-Hakimi type algorithm to realize graphical degree sequences of directed graphs. Electron J Combin 17:1 R66 (10 pages).

- 12. Frank A (2011) Connections in Combinatorial Optimization. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Hakimi SL (1962) On the realizability of a set of integers as degrees of the vertices of a simple graph. J SIAM Appl Math 10:496–506
- 14. Hakimi SL (1965) On the degrees of the vertices of a graph. F Franklin Institute 279(4)290–308
- 15. Havel V (1955) A remark on the existence of finite graphs (Czech). Časopis Pěst Mat 80:477–480
- Iványi A (2009) Reconstruction of complete interval tournaments. Acta Univ Sapientiae, Inform 1(1):71–88
- 17. Iványi A (2010), Reconstruction of complete interval tournaments. II. Acta Univ Sapientiae, Math $2(1){:}47{-}71$
- 18. Iványi A, Lucz L (2011) Erdős-Gallai test in linear time. Combinatorica (submitted).
- 19. Iványi A, Lucz L, Móri FT, Sótér P (2011) Quick Erdős-Gallai algorithms, Acta Univ Sapientiae, Inform 3(2):230-268
- 20. Iványi A, Lucz L, Móri FT (2011) Linear Erdős-Gallai test (Hungarian) Alk Mat Lapok (submitted)
- 21. Iványi A, Pirzada S (2011) Comparison based ranking. In: Algorithms of Informatics (electronic book, ed. by A. Iványi), AnTonCom, Budapest, 1410–1450
- 22. Kéri G. (2011) On qualitatively consistent, transitive and contradictory judgment matrices emerging from multiattribute decision procedures. Central Eur J Oper Res 19(2):215–224
- 23. Kim H, Toroczkai Z, Miklós I, Erdős PL, Székely LA (2009) Degree-based graph construction. J Physics: Math Theor A 42:392–401
- LaMar MD (2010) Algorithms for realizing degree sequences of directed graphs. arXiv-0906:0343ve [math.CO], 7 June 2010
- 25. Landau HG (1953) On dominance relations and the structure of animal societies. III. The condition for a score sequence. Bull Math Biophys 15:143–148
- Liljeros F, Edling CR, Amaral A, Stanley H, Åberg Y (2001) The web of human sexual contacts. Nature 411(6840):907–908
- Lucz L, Iványi A (2012) Testing and enumeration of football sequences, Abstracts of MaCS 2012, ed. by Z. Csörnyei (Siófok, February 9–12, 2012).
- Narayana TV, Bent DH (1964) Computation of the number of score sequences in roundrobin tournaments. Canad Math Bull 7:133–136
- 29. Newman MEJ, Barabási AL (2006) The Structure and Dynamics of Networks. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ
- 30. Özkan S (2011) Generalization of the Erdős-Gallai inequality. Ars Combin 98:295–302
- Pécsy G, Szűcs L (2000) Parallel verification and enumeration of tournaments. Studia Univ Babeş-Bolyai, Inform XLV(2):11–26
- 32. Ruskey F, Cohen R, Eades P, Scott A (1994) Alley CAT's in search of good homes. Congr Numer 102:97–110
- 33. Sloane NJA (2011) The number of degree-vectors for simple graphs. In: The On-Line Encyclopedia of the Integer Sequences (ed. by NJA Sloane). http://oeis.org/A004251
- 34. Sloane NJA, Plouffe S (1995) The Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences. Academic Press, 1995.
- 35. Temesi J (2011) Pairwise comparison matrices and the error-free property of the decision maker. Central Eur J Oper Res 19 (2) 239–249
- 36. Tripathi A, Venugopalanb S, West DB (2010) A short constructive proof of the Erdős-Gallai characterization of graphic lists. Discrete Math 310:833–834
- 37. Tripathi A, Vijay S (2003) A note on a theorem of Erdős & Gallai. Discrete Math 265:417–420