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#### Abstract

Havel in 1955 [28], Erdős and Gallai in 1960 [21], Hakimi in 1962 [26], Ruskey, Cohen, Eades and Scott in 1994 [70], Barnes and Savage in 1997 [6], Kohnert in 2004 [50], Tripathi, Venugopalan and West in 2010 [85] proposed a method to decide, whether a sequence of nonnegative integers can be the degree sequence of a simple graph (such sequences are called graphical). The running time of their algorithms is $\Omega\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ in worst case. In this paper we propose a new algorithm called EGL (Erdős-Gallai Linear algorithm), whose worst running time is $\Theta(\mathfrak{n})$. As an application of this quick algorithm we computed the number of the different degree sequences of simple graphs for $24, \ldots, 29$ vertices (see [76]).


## 1 Introduction

In the practice an often appearing problem is the ranking of different objects as hardware or software products, cars, economical decisions, persons etc. A
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typical method of the ranking is the pairwise comparison of the objects, assignment of points to the objects and sorting the objects according to the sums of the numbers of the received points.

For example Landau [52] references to biological, Hakimi [26] to chemical, Kim et al. [46], Newman and Barabási 62 to net-centric, Bozóki, Fülöp, Poesz, Kéri, Rónyai and Temesi to economical [1, 10, 11, 43, 82], Liljeros et al. [53] to human applications, while Iványi, Khan, Lucz, Pirzada, Sótér and Zhou [30, 31, 39, 66, 68] write on applications in sports.

From several popular possibilities we follow the terminology and notations used by Pál Erdős and Tibor Gallai [21].

Depending from the rules of the allocation of the points there are many problems. In this paper we deal only with the case when the comparisons have two possible results: either both objects get one point, or both objects get zero points. In this case the results of the comparisons can be represented using simple graphs and the number of points gathered by the given objects are the degrees of the corresponding vertices. The decreasing sequence of the degrees is denoted by $b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$.

From the popular problems we investigate first of all the question, how can we quickly decide, whether for given $b$ does exist there a simple graph $G$ whose degree sequence is $b$. In connection with this problem we remark that the main motivation for studying of this problem is the question: what is the complexity of deciding whether a sequence is the score sequence of some football tournament [24, 32, 36, 38, 44, 45, 55].

As a side effect we extended the popular data base On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [74] with the continuation of contained sequences and with the values of some new sequences.

In connection with the similar problems we remark, that in the last years a lot of papers and chapters were published on the undirected graphs (for example [8, 9, 12, 16, 19, 29, 37, 42, 56, 69, [83, 85, 86, 87]) and also on directed graphs (for example [7, 11, 14, 23, 24, 30, 31, 33, 39, 46, 49, 51, 58, 599, 64, 66, 65, 67]).

The majority of the investigated algorithms is sequential, but there are parallel results too [2, 18, 20, 61, 63, 79].

Let $l, u$ and $m$ integers ( $m \geq 1$ and $u \geq l$ ). A sequence of integer numbers $b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$ is called $(l, u, m)$-bounded, if $l \leq b_{i} \leq u$ for $\mathfrak{i}=1, \ldots, m$. A $(l, u, m)$-bounded sequence $b$ is called $(l, u, m)$-regular, if $b_{\mathfrak{n}} \geq b_{\mathfrak{n}-1} \geq$ $\cdots \geq b_{1}$. An $(l, u, m)$-regular sequence is called $(l, u, m)$-even, if the sum of its elements is even. A $(0, n-1, n)$-regular sequence $b$ is called $n$-graphical, if there exists a simple graph $G$ whose degree sequence is $b$. If $l=0, u=n-1$
and $m=n$, then we use the terms $n$-bounded, $n$-regular, $n$-even, and $n$ graphical (or simply bounded, regular, even, graphical).

In the following we deal first of all with regular sequences. In our definitions the bounds appear to save the testing algorithms from the checking of such sequences, which are obviously not graphical, therefore these bounds do not mean the restriction of the generality.

The paper consists of nine parts. After the introductory Section 1 in Section 2 we describe the classical algorithms of the testing and reconstruction of degree sequences of simple graphs. Section 3 introduces several linear testing algorithms, then Section 4 summarizes some properties of the approximate algorithms. Section 5 contains the description of new precise algorithms and in Section 6 the running times of the classical testing algorithms are presented. Section 7 contains enumerative results, in Section 8 we report on the application of the new algorithms for the computation of the number of score sequences of simple graphs. Finally Section 9 contains the summary of the results.

Our paper [37] written in Hungarian contains further algorithms and simulation results. [36] contains a short summary on the linear Erdős-Gallai algorithm while in [38] the details of the parallel implementation of Erdős-Gallai-Enumerating are presented.

## 2 Classical precise algorithms

For a given $n$-regular sequence $b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ the first $i$ elements of the sequence we call the head of the sequence belonging to the index $i$, while the last $n-i$ elements of the sequence we call the tail of the sequence belonging to the index $i$.

### 2.1 Havel-Hakimi algorithm

The first algorithm for the solution of the testing problem was proposed by Vaclav Havel Czech mathematician [28, 54]. In 1962 Louis Hakimi [26] published independently the same result, therefore the theorem is called today usually as Havel-Hakimi theorem, and the method of reconstruction is called Havel-Hakimi algorithm.

Theorem 1 (Hakimi [26], Havel [28]). If $\mathrm{n} \geq 3$, then the n -regular sequence $\mathrm{b}=\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is n -graphical if and only if the sequence $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{b}_{2}-1, \mathrm{~b}_{3}-\right.$ $\left.1, \ldots, b_{b_{1}}-1, b_{b_{1}+1}-1, b_{b_{1}+2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ is $(n-1)$-graphical.

Proof. See [9, 26, 28, 37.
If we write a recursive program based on this theorem, then according to the RAM model of computation its running time will in worst case $\Omega\left(n^{2}\right)$, since the algorithm decreases the degrees by one, and e.g. if $b=\left((n-1)^{n}\right)$, then the sum of the elements of $b$ equals to $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$. It is worth to remark that the proof of the theorem is constructive, and the algorithm based on the proof not only tests the input in quadratic time, but also construct a corresponding simple graph (of course, only if it there exists).

It is worth to remark that the algorithm was extended to directed graphs in which any pair of the vertices is connected with at least $a \geq 0$ and at most $b \geq a$ edges [30, 31]. The special case $a=b=1$ was reproved in [23].

In 1965 Hakimi [27] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for two sequences $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ and $b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ to be the in-degree sequences and out-degree sequence of a directed multigraph without loops.

### 2.2 Erdős-Gallai algorithm

In chronological order the next result is the necessary and sufficient theorem published by Pál Erdős and Tibor Gallai [21].

For an $n$-regular sequence $b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ let $H_{i}=b_{1}+\cdots+b_{i}$. For given $i$ the elements $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{i}$ are called the head of $b$, belonging to $i$, while the elements $b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ are called the tail of $b$ belonging to $i$.

When we investigate the realizability of a sequence, a natural observation is that the degree requirement $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ of a head is covered partially with inner and partially with outer degrees (with edges among the vertices of the head, resp. with edges, connecting a vertex of the head and a vertex of the tail). This observation is formalized by the following Erdős-Gallai theorem.

Theorem 2 (Erdős, Gallai [21]) Let $\mathrm{n} \geq 3$. The n -regular sequence $\mathrm{b}=$ $\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~b}_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)$ is n -graphical if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} \text { even } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{j} b_{i} \leq \mathfrak{j}(j-1)+\sum_{k=j+1}^{n} \min \left(\mathfrak{j}, b_{k}\right) \quad(j=1, \ldots, n-1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. See [9, 15, 21, 71, 85].

Although this theorem does not solve the problem of reconstruction of graphical sequences, the systematic application of (2) requires in worst case (for example when the input sequence is graphical) $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ time.

Recently Tripathi and Vijay [85] published a constructive proof of ErdősGallai theorem and proved that their construction requires $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ time.

Figure 1 shows the number of $n$-regular $(R(n)$ and $n$-even ( $E(n)$ sequences and their ratio $(E(n) / R(n)$ for $n=1, \ldots, 38$. According to (36) the sequence of these ratios tends to $\frac{1}{2}$ as $n$ tends to $\infty$. According to Figure 1 the convergence is quick: e.g. $\mathrm{E}(20) / \mathrm{R}(20)=0.5000006701511$.

The pseudocode of Erdős-Gallai see in [37].

## 3 Testing algorithms

We are interested in the investigation of football sequences, where often appears the necessity of the testing of degree sequences of simple graphs.

A possible way to decrease the expected testing time is to use quick (linear) filtering algorithms which can state with a high probability, that the given input is not graphical, and so we need the slow precise algorithms only in the remaining cases.

Now we describe a parity checking, then a binomial, and finally a headsplitting filtering algorithm.

### 3.1 Parity test

Our first test is based on the first necessary condition of Erdős-Gallai theorem. This test is very effective, since according to Figure 1 and Corollary 13 about the half of the regular sequences is odd, and our test establishes in linear time, that these sequences are not graphical.

The following simple algorithm is based on (1).
Input. $n$ : number of the vertices ( $n \geq 1$ );
$\mathrm{b}=\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ : an n -regular sequence.
Output. L: logical variable ( $\mathrm{L}=$ FALSE shows, that b is not graphical, while the meaning of the value $\mathrm{L}=$ True is, that the test could not decide, whether b is graphical or not).

Working variable. i: cycle variable;
$H=\left(H_{1}, \ldots, H_{n}\right): H_{i}$ is the sum of the first $i$ elements of $b$.
Parity-Test( $n, b, L$ )
$01 \mathrm{H}_{1}=\mathrm{b}_{1}$

| n | R(n) | E(n) | $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{n}) / \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{n})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0000000000000 |
| 2 | 3 | 2 | 0.6666666666667 |
| 3 | 10 | 6 | 0.6000000000000 |
| 4 | 35 | 19 | 0.5428571428571 |
| 5 | 126 | 66 | 0.5238095238095 |
| 6 | 462 | 236 | 0.5108225108225 |
| 7 | 1716 | 868 | 0.5058275058275 |
| 8 | 6435 | 3235 | 0.5027195027195 |
| 9 | 24310 | 12190 | 0.5014397367339 |
| 10 | 92378 | 46252 | 0.5006819805581 |
| 11 | 352716 | 176484 | 0.5003572279114 |
| 12 | 1352078 | 676270 | 0.5001708481315 |
| 13 | 5200300 | 2600612 | 0.5000888410284 |
| 14 | 20058300 | 10030008 | 0.5000427753100 |
| 15 | 77558760 | 38781096 | 0.5000221251603 |
| 16 | 300540195 | 150273315 | 0.5000107057227 |
| 17 | 1166803110 | 583407990 | 0.5000055150693 |
| 18 | 4537567650 | 2268795980 | 0.5000026787479 |
| 19 | 17672631900 | 8836340260 | 0.5000013755733 |
| 20 | 68923264410 | 34461678394 | 0.5000006701511 |
| 21 | 269128937220 | 134564560988 | 0.5000003432481 |
| 22 | 1052049481860 | 526024917288 | 0.5000001676328 |
| 23 | 4116715363800 | 2058358034616 | 0.5000000856790 |
| 24 | 16123801841550 | 8061901596814 | 0.5000000419280 |
| 25 | 63205303218876 | 31602652961516 | 0.5000000213918 |
| 26 | 247959266474052 | 123979635837176 | 0.5000000104862 |
| 27 | 973469712824056 | 486734861612328 | 0.5000000053420 |
| 28 | 3824345300380220 | 1912172660219260 | 0.5000000026224 |
| 29 | 15033633249770520 | 7516816644943560 | 0.5000000013342 |
| 30 | 59132290782430712 | 29566145429994736 | 0.5000000006558 |
| 31 | 232714176627630544 | 116357088391374032 | 0.5000000003333 |
| 32 | 916312070471295267 | 458156035385917731 | 0.5000000001640 |
| 33 | 3609714217008132870 | 1804857108804606630 | 0.5000000000833 |
| 34 | 14226520737620288370 | 7113260369393545740 | 0.5000000000410 |
| 35 | 56093138908331422716 | 28046569455332514468 | 0.5000000000208 |
| 36 | 221256270138418389602 | 110628135071477978626 | 0.5000000000103 |
| 37 | 873065282167813104916 | 436532641088444120108 | 0.5000000000052 |
| 38 | 3446310324346630677300 | 1723155162182151654600 | 0.5000000000026 |

Figure 1: Number of regular and even sequences, and the ratio of these numbers

02 for $i=2$ to $n$
$03 \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}-1}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}$

```
04 if H}\mp@subsup{\textrm{H}}{n}{}\mathrm{ odd
05 L = FALSE
06 return L
07 L = True
08 return L
```

The running time of this algorithm is $\Theta(n)$ in all cases. Figure 1 characterizes the efficiency of Parity-test.
(1) is only a necessary condition, therefore Parity-Test is only an approximate (filtering) algorithm.

### 3.2 Binomial test

Our second test is based on the second necessary condition of Erdős-Gallai theorem. It received the given name since we estimate the number of the inner edges of the head of $b$ using a binomial coefficient. Let $T_{i}=b_{i+1}+\cdots+b_{n}(i=$ $1, \ldots, n$ ).

Lemma 3 If $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$ and b is an n -graphical sequence, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i} \leq i(i-1)+T_{i} \quad(i=1, \ldots, n-1) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The left side of (3) represents the degree requirement of the head of $b$. On the right side of (3) $\mathfrak{i}(\mathfrak{i}-1)$ is an upper bound of the inner degree capacity of the head, while $T_{i}$ is an upper bound of the degree capacity of the tail, belonging to the index $i$.

The following program is based on Lemma 3.
Input. n : number of the vertices ( $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$ );
$b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ : an $n$-regular even sequence;
$H=\left(H_{1}, \ldots, H_{n}\right): H_{i}$ the sum of the first $i$ elements of $b$;
$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : auxiliary variable, helping to compute the elements of H .
Output. L: logical variable ( $\mathrm{L}=$ FALSE signals, that b is surely not graphical, while $\mathrm{L}=$ True shows, that the test could not decide, whether b is graphical).

Working variables. i: cycle variable;
$T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right): T_{i}$ the sum of the last $n-i$ elements of $b ;$
$T_{0}$ : auxiliary variable, helping to compute the elements of $T$.
Binomial-Test(n, b, H, L)
$01 \mathrm{~T}_{0}=0$
02 for $\mathfrak{i}=1$ to $n-1$

```
T}=\mp@subsup{\textrm{H}}{\textrm{n}}{}-\mp@subsup{\textrm{H}}{\textrm{i}}{
if Hj}>\mp@code{i(i-1)+ Ti
    L = FALSE
    return L
```

$07 \mathrm{~L}=$ True
08 return L

The running time of this algorithm is $\Theta(n)$ in worst case, while in best case is only $\Theta(1)$.

According to our simulation experiments Binomial-Test is an effective filtering test (see 2) and 8).

### 3.3 Splitting of the head

We can get a better estimation of the inner capacity of the head, than the binomial coefficient gives in (3), if we split the head into two parts. Let $\lfloor i / 2\rfloor=$ $h_{i}, p$ the number of positive elements of $b$. Then the sequence $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{h_{i}}\right)$ is called the beginning of the head belonging to index $\mathfrak{i}$ and the sequence $\left(b_{h_{i}+1}, \ldots, b_{i}\right)$ the end of the head belonging to index $\mathfrak{i}$.

Lemma 4 If $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$ and b is an n -graphical sequence, then

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{i} & \leq \min \left(\min \left(H_{h_{i}}, T_{n}-T_{i}, h_{i}(n-i)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\min \left(H_{i}-H_{h_{i}}, T_{n}-T_{i},\left(i-h_{i}\right)(n-i)\right), T_{i}\right) \\
& +\min \left(h_{i}\left(i-h_{i}\right)+\binom{h_{i}}{2}+\binom{i-h_{i}}{2} \quad(i=1, \ldots, n),\right. \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

further

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left(H_{h_{i}}, T_{n}-T_{i}, h_{i}(n-i)\right)+\min \left(H_{i}-H_{h_{i}}, T_{n}-T_{i},\left(i-h_{i}\right)(n-i)\right) \leq T_{i} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $G$ be a simple graph whose degree sequence is $b$. Then we divide the set of the edges of the head belonging to index $i$ into five subsets: $\left(S_{i, 1}\right)$ contains the edges between the beginning of the head and the tail, $\left(S_{i, 2}\right)$ the edges between the end of the head and the tail, $S_{i, 3}$ the edges between the parts of the head, $S_{i, 4}$ the edges in the beginning of the head and $S_{i, 5}$ the edges in the end of the head. Let us denote the number of edges in these subsets by $X_{i, 1}, \ldots, X_{i, 5}$.
$X_{i, 1}$ is at most the sum $H_{h_{i}}$ of the elements of the head, at most the sum $T_{n}-T_{i}$ of the elements of the tail, and at most the product $h_{i}(n-i)$ of the
elements of the pairs formed from the tail and from the beginning of the head, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i, 1} \leq \min \left(H_{h_{i}}, T_{n}-T_{i}, h_{i}(n-i)\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar train of thought results

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i, 2} \leq \min \left(H_{i}-H_{h_{i}}, T_{n}-T_{i},\left(i-h_{i}\right)(n-i)\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$X_{i, 3}$ is at most $h_{i}\left(i-h_{i}\right)$ and at most $H_{i}$, implying

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i, 3} \leq \min \left(h_{i}\left(i-h_{i}\right), H_{i}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$X_{i, 4}$ is at most $\binom{h_{i}}{2}$ and at most $H_{h_{i}}$, implying

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i, 4} \leq \min \left(\binom{h_{i}}{2}, H_{h_{i}}\right), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $X_{i, 5}$ is at most $\binom{i-h_{i}}{2}$ and at most $H_{i}-H_{h_{i}}$, implying

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i, 5} \leq\binom{ i-h_{i}}{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

A requirement is also, that the tail can overrun its capacity, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i, 1}+X_{i, 2} \leq T_{i} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing of (6), (7), (8), (9), and (10) results

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i} \leq X_{i, 1}+X_{i, 2}+X_{i, 3}+2 X_{i, 4}+2 X_{i, 5} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting of (6), (7), (8), (9), and (10) into (12) results (4), while (11) is equivalent with (5).

The following algorithm executes the test based on Lemma 4 .
Input. $n$ : the number of vertices ( $n \geq 1$ );
$\mathrm{b}=\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~b}_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)$ : an $n$-even sequence, accepted by Binomial-Test;
$H=\left(H_{1}, \ldots, H_{n}\right): H_{i}$ the sum of the first $i$ elements of $b$;
$T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right): T_{i}$ the sum of the last $n-i$ elements of $b$.
Output. L: logical variable ( $\mathrm{L}=$ False signals, that b is not graphical, while $\mathrm{L}=$ True shows, that the test could nor decide, whether b is graphical).

Working variables. i: cycle variable;
$h$ : the actual value of $h_{i} X=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}\right)$ : $X_{j}$ is the value of the actual $X_{i, j}$ of the end of the head.

```
Headsplitter-Test( \(n, b, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{L}\) )
01 for \(\mathfrak{i}=2\) to \(n-1\)
\(02 \quad h=\lfloor i / 2\rfloor\)
\(03 \quad \mathrm{X}_{1}=\min \left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{i})\right)\)
\(04 \quad X_{2}=\min \left(H_{i}-H_{h}, T_{n}-T_{i},(i-h)(n-i)\right)\)
\(05 \quad \mathrm{X}_{3}=\min (\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{h})\)
\(06 \quad X_{4}=\binom{h}{2}\)
\(07 \quad X_{5}=\binom{i-h}{2}\)
06 if \(H_{i}>X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}+2 X_{4}+2 X_{5}\) or \(X_{1}+X_{2}>T_{i}\)
\(07 \quad \mathrm{~L}=\) False
08 return L
\(09 \mathrm{~L}=\) True
10 return L
```

The running time of the algorithm is $\Theta(1)$ in best case and $\Theta(n)$ in worst case.
It is a substantial circumstance that the use of Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 requires only linear time (while the earlier two theorems require quadratic time). But these improvements of Erdős-Gallai theorem decrease only the coefficient of the quadratic member in the formula of the running time, the order of growth remains unchanged.

Figure 2 contains the results of the running of Binomial-Test and Head-SPLitter-Test, further the values $G(n)$ and $\frac{G(n)}{G(n+1)}$ (the computation of the values of the function $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{n})$ will be explained in Section (8).

Figure 8 shows the relative frequency of the zerofree regular, binomial, headsplitted and graphical sequences compared with the number of regular sequences.

### 3.4 Composite test

Composite-Test uses approximate algorithms in the following order: ParityTest, Binomial-Test, Positive-Test, Headsplitter-Test.

Composite-test( $n, b, L$ )
01 Parity-Test( $\mathfrak{n}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{L}$ )
02 if $\mathrm{L}=$ False
03 return L
04 Binomial-Test(n, b, H, L)
05 if $\mathrm{L}=$ False

| n | $\mathrm{B}_{z}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{F}_{z}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{n}+1) / \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{n})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2.000000 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 2.000000 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | 2.750000 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 2.818182 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | 3.290323 |
| 6 | 103 | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | 3.352941 |
| 7 | 349 | 344 | $\mathbf{3 4 2}$ | 3.546784 |
| 8 | 1256 | 1230 | 1213 | 3.595218 |
| 9 | 4577 | 4468 | 4361 | 3.672552 |
| 10 | 17040 | 16582 | 16016 | 3.705544 |
| 11 | 63944 | 62070 | 59348 | 3.742620 |
| 12 | 242218 | 234596 | 222117 | 3.765200 |
| 13 | 922369 | 891852 | 836315 | 3.786674 |
| 14 | 3530534 | 3409109 | 3166852 | 3.802710 |
| 15 | 13563764 | 13082900 | 12042620 | 3.817067 |
| 16 | 5283429 | 50380684 | 45967479 | 3.828918 |
| 17 | 202075949 | 194550002 | 176005709 | 3.839418 |
| 18 | 78289161 | 753107537 | 675759564 | 3.848517 |
| 19 | 3039168331 | 2921395019 | 2600672458 | 3.856630 |
| 20 | 11819351967 | 11353359464 | 10029832754 | 3.863844 |
| 21 |  |  | 38753710486 | 3.870343 |
| 22 |  |  | 149990133774 | 3.876212 |
| 23 |  |  | 581393603996 | 3.881553 |
| 24 |  |  | 2256710139346 | 3.886431 |
| 25 |  |  | 3770547818956 | 3.890907 |
| 26 |  |  | 132919443189544 | 3.895031 |
| 27 |  |  | 2022337118015338 |  |
| 28 |  |  |  | 3.897978 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |

Figure 2: Number of zerofree binomial, zerofree headsplitted and graphical sequences, further the ratio of the numbers of graphical sequences for neighbouring values of $n$

06 return L
07 Headsplitter-Test( $\mathfrak{n}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{L}$ )
08 if $\mathrm{L}=$ False
09 return L
$10 \mathrm{~L}=$ True

| n | $\mathrm{E}_{z}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{z}(\mathrm{n}) / R(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{B}_{z}(\mathrm{n}) / R(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{F}_{z}(\mathrm{n}) / R(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{n}) / \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{n})$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| 2 | 1 | 0.333333 | 0.666667 | 0.666667 | 0.666667 |
| 3 | 2 | 0.300000 | 0.400000 | 0.400000 | 0.400000 |
| 4 | 9 | 0.257143 | 0.314286 | 0.314286 | 0.314286 |
| 5 | 28 | 0.230159 | 0.246032 | 0.246031 | 0.246032 |
| 6 | 110 | 0.238095 | 0.222943 | 0.220779 | 0.220779 |
| 7 | 396 | 0.231352 | 0.203380 | 0.200466 | 0.199301 |
| 8 | 1519 | 0.236053 | 0.195183 | 0.191142 | 0.188500 |
| 9 | 5720 | 0.235335 | 0.188276 | 0.183793 | 0.179391 |
| 10 | 21942 | 0.237524 | 0.184460 | 0.179502 | 0.173375 |
| 11 | 83980 | 0.238098 | 0.181290 | 0.175977 | 0.168260 |
| 12 | 323554 | 0.239301 | 0.179145 | 0.173508 | 0.164278 |
| 13 | 1248072 | 0.240000 | 0.177368 | 0.171500 | 0.160821 |
| 14 | 4829708 | 0.240784 | 0.176014 | 0.169960 | 0.157882 |
| 15 | 18721080 | 0.241379 | 0.174884 | 0.168684 | 0.155271 |
| 16 | 72714555 | 0.241946 | 0.173965 | 0.167634 | 0.152950 |
| 17 | 282861360 | 0.242424 | 0.173188 | 0.166738 | 0.150844 |
| 18 | 1101992870 | 0.242860 | 0.172533 | 0.165972 | 0.148926 |
| 19 | 4298748300 | 0.243243 | 0.171970 | 0.165306 | 0.147158 |
| 20 | 16789046494 | 0.243590 | 0.171486 | 0.164725 | 0.145521 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  | 0.143997 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  | 0.142569 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  | 0.141228 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  | 0.139961 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  | 0.138762 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  | 0.137625 |
| 27 |  |  |  |  | 0.136542 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  | 0.134521 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3: The number of zerofree even sequences, further the ratio of the numbers binomial/regular, headsplitted/regular and graphical/regular sequences.

## 11 return

The running time of this composite algorithm is in all cases $\Theta(n)$.

## 4 Properties of the approximate testing algorithms

We investigate the efficiency of the approximate algorithms testing the regular algorithms. Figure 1 contains the number $R(n)$ of regular, the number $E(n)$ of even, and the number $G(n)$ of graphical sequences for $n=1, \ldots, 38$.

The relative efficiency of algorithm A for sequences of given length $n$ we define with the ratio of the number of accepted by A sequences of length $n$ and the number of graphical sequences $G(n)$. This ratio as a function of $n$ will be noted by $X_{A}(n)$ and called the error function of A [34].

We investigate the following approximate algorithms, which are the components of Composite-Test:

1) Parity-Test;
2) Binomial-Test;
3) Headsplitter-Test.

According to (25)) there are $R(2)=3$ 2-regular sequences: $(1,1),(1,0)$ and $(0,0)$. According to (27) among these sequences there are $E(2)=2$ even sequences. Binomial-Test accepts both even ones, therefore $B(2)=2$. Both sequences are 2-graphical, therefore $\mathrm{G}(2)=2$ and so the efficiency of ParityTest (PT) and Binomial-Test (BT) is $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{PT}}(2)=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{BT}}(2)=2 / 2=1$, in this case both algorithms are optimal

The number of 3 -regular sequences is $R(3)=10$. From these sequences $(2,2,2),(2,2,0),(2,1,1),(2,0,0)(1,1,0)$ and $(0,0,0)$ are even, so $E(3)=$ 6. Binomial-Test excludes the sequences $(2,2,0)$ and $(2,0,0)$, so remains $B(3)=4$. Since these sequences are 3-graphical, $G(3)=4$ implies $X_{P T}(3)=\frac{3}{2}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{BT}}(3)=1$.

The number of 4-regular sequences equals to $R(4)=35$. From these sequences 16 is even, and the following 11 is 4 -graphical: $(3,3,3,3),(3,3,2,2)$, $(3,2,2,1),(3,1,1,1),,(2,2,2,2),(2,2,2,0),(2,2,1,1),(2,1,1,0),(1,1,1,1)$, $(1,1,0,0)$ and $(0,0,0,0)$. From the 16 even sequences Binomial-Test also excludes the 5 sequences, so $\mathrm{B}(4)=\mathrm{G}(4)=11$ and $X_{B T}(4)=1$.

According to these data in the case of $n \leq 4$ Binomial-Test recognizes all nongraphical sequences. Figure 2 shows, that for $n \leq 5$ esetén $B(n)=G(n)$, that is Binomial-Test accepts the same number of sequences as the precise algorithms. If $n>5$, then the error function of Binomial-Test is increasing: while $X_{\mathrm{BT}}(6)=\frac{103}{102}\left(\mathrm{BT}\right.$ accepts one nongraphical sequence), $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{BT}}(7)=\frac{349}{342}$ (BT accepts 7 nongraphical sequences) etc.
Figure 4 presents the average running time of the testing algorithms BT and HT in secundum and in number of operations. The data contain the time and operations necessary for the generation of the sequences too.

| n | BT, s | BT, operation | HT, s | HT, operation |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 15 |
| 2 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 43 |
| 3 | 0 | 180 | 0 | 200 |
| 4 | 0 | 716 | 0 | 815 |
| 5 | 0 | 2918 | 0 | 3321 |
| 6 | 0 | 11918 | 0 | 13675 |
| 7 | 0 | 48952 | 0 | 56299 |
| 8 | 0 | 201734 | 0 | 233182 |
| 9 | 0 | 831374 | 0 | 964121 |
| 10 | 0 | 3426742 | 0 | 3988542 |
| 11 | 0 | 14107824 | 0 | 16469036 |
| 12 | 0 | 58028152 | 0 | 67929342 |
| 13 | 0 | 238379872 | 0 | 279722127 |
| 14 | 0 | 978194400 | 1 | 1150355240 |
| 15 | 2 | 4009507932 | 3 | 4724364716 |
| 16 | 6 | 16417793698 | 13 | 19379236737 |
| 17 | 26 | 67160771570 | 51 | 79402358497 |
| 18 | 106 | 274490902862 | 196 | 324997910595 |
| 19 | 423 | 120923466932 | 798 | 1328948863507 |
| 20 | 1627 | 4573895421484 | 3201 | 5429385115097 |

Figure 4: Running time of Binomial-Test (BT) and Headsplitter-Test (HT) in secundum and as the number of operations for $n=1, \ldots, 20$.

## 5 New precise algorithms

In this section the zerofree algorithms, the shifting Havel-Hakimi, the parity checking Havel-Hakimi, the shortened Erdős-Gallai, the jumping Erdős-Gallai, the linear Erdős-Gallai and the quick Erdős-Gallai algorithms are presented.

### 5.1 Zerofree algorithms

Since the zeros at the and of the input sequences correspond to isolated vertices, so they have no influence on the quality of the sequence. This observation is exploited in the following assertion, in which $p$ means the number of the positive elements of the input sequence.

Corollary 5 If $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$, the $\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~b}_{\mathfrak{n}}\right) \mathrm{n}$-regular sequence is n -graphical if and only if $\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~b}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is p -graphical.

Proof. If all elements of $b$ are positive (that is $p=n$ ), then the assertion is equivalent with Erdős-Gallai theorem. If $b$ contains zero element (that is
$p<\mathfrak{n}$ ), then the assertion is the consequence of Havel-Hakimi and ErdősGallai algorithms, since the zero elements do not help in the pairing of the positive elements, but from the other side they have no own requirement.

The algorithms based on this corollary are called Havel-Hakimi-Zerofree (HHZ), resp. Erdős-Gallai-ZErofree (EGZ).

### 5.2 Shifting Havel-Hakimi algorithm

The natural algorithmic equivalent of the original Havel-Hakimi theorem is called Havel-Hakimi Sorting (HHSo), since it requires the sorting of the reduced input sequence in every round.

But it is possible to design such implementation, in which the reduction of the degrees is executed saving the monotonity of the sequence. Then we get Havel-Hakimi-Shifting (HHSh) algorithm.

For he pseudocode of this algorithms see in [37].

### 5.3 Parity checking Havel-Hakimi algorithm

It is an interesting idea the join the application of the conditions of ErdősGallai and Havel-Hakimi theorems in such a manner, that we start with the parity checking of the input sequence, and only then use the recursive HavelHakimi method.

For the pseudocode of the algorithm Havel-Hakimi-Parity (HHP) see in [37].

### 5.4 Shortened Erdős-Gallai algorithm (EGSh)

In the case of a regular sequence the maximal value of $H_{i}$ is $n(n-1)$, therefore the inequality (2) certainly holds for $\mathfrak{i}=\mathfrak{n}$, therefore it is unnecessary to check.

Even more useful observation is contained in the following assertion due to Tripathi and Vijai.

Lemma 6 (Tripathi, Vijay [84]) If $\mathfrak{n} \geq 1$, then an $\mathfrak{n}$-regular sequence $\mathrm{b}=$ $\left(\mathrm{b}_{1} \ldots, \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is n -graphical if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \text { even } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i} \leq \min \left(H_{i}, i(i-1)\right) \sum_{k=l+1}^{n} \min \left(i, b_{k}\right) \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, r) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left(k \mid k(k-1)<H_{k}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $\mathfrak{i}(\mathfrak{i}-1) \geq H_{i}$, then the left side of (2) is nonpositive, therefore the inequality holds, so the checking of the inequality is nonnecessary.

The algorithm based on this assertion is called Erdős-Gallai-Shortened. For example if the input sequence is $\mathbf{b}=\left(5^{100}\right)$, then Erdős-GaLLAI computes the right side of (2) 99 times, while Erdős-Gallai-Shortened only 6 times.

### 5.5 Jumping Erdős-Gallai algorithm

Contracting the repeated elements a regular sequence ( $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ ) can be written in the form $\left(b_{i_{1}}^{e_{1}}, \ldots, b_{i_{q}}^{e_{q}}\right)$, where $b_{i_{1}}<\cdots<b_{i_{q}}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{q} \geq 1$ and $e_{1}+\cdots+e_{q}=n$. Let $g_{j}=e_{1}+\cdots+e_{j}(j=1, \ldots, q)$.

The element $b_{i}$ is called the checking points of the sequence $b$, if $\mathfrak{i}=n$ or $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ és $b_{i}>b_{i+1}$. Then the checking points are $b_{g_{1}}, \ldots, b_{g_{q}}$.

Theorem 7 (Tripathi, Vijay [84]) An $\mathfrak{n}$-regular sequence $\mathrm{b}=\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~b}_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)$ is n -graphical if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \text { even } \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{g_{i}}-g_{i}\left(g_{i}-1\right) \leq \sum_{k=g_{i}+1}^{n} \min \left(i, b_{k}\right) \quad(i=1, \ldots, q) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. See [84].
Later in algorithm Erdős-Gallai-Enumerating we will exploit, that in the inequality (17) $g_{q}$ is always $n$, therefore it is enough to check the inequality only up to $i=q-1$.

The next program implements a quick version of Erdős-Gallai algorithm, exploiting Corollary 5, Lemma 6 and Lemma 7. In this paper we use the pseudocode style proposed in [17].

Input. $n$ : number of vertices ( $n \geq 1$ );
$b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ : an $n$-even sequence.
Output. L: logical variable ( $\mathrm{L}=$ FALSE signalizes, that, b is not graphical, while $\mathrm{L}=$ True shows, that b is graphical).

Working variables. $\mathfrak{i}$ and $\mathfrak{j}$ : cycle variables;
$H=\left(H_{0}, H_{1}, \ldots, H_{n}\right): H_{i}$ is the sum of the first $i$ elements of $b ;$

D: the degree capacity of the actual tail;
$b_{n+1}$ : auxiliary variable helping to decide, whether $b_{n}$ is a jumping element.

```
Erdős-Gallai-Jumping (n, b, H, L)
\(01 \mathrm{H}_{1}=\mathrm{b}_{1} \quad / /\) lines \(01-06\) : test of parity
02 for \(\mathfrak{i}=2\) to \(n\)
\(03 \quad H_{i}=H_{i-1}+b_{i}\)
04 if \(\mathrm{H}_{n}\) odd
05 L = FALSE
06 return \(L\)
\(07 b_{\mathfrak{n}+1}=-1 \quad / /\) lines \(07-20\) : test of the request of the head
\(08 i=1\)
09 while \(i \leq n\) and \(i(i-1)<H_{i}\)
\(10 \quad\) while \(b_{i}==b_{i+1}\)
\(11 \quad i=i+1\)
\(12 \quad \mathrm{D}=0\)
13 for \(\mathfrak{j}=\mathfrak{i}+1\) to \(\mathfrak{n}\)
\(14 \quad D=D+\min \left(j, b_{j}\right)\)
15 if \(H_{i}>i(i-1)+D\)
\(16 \quad \mathrm{~L}=\) FALSE
17 return L
\(18 \quad i=i+1\)
\(19 \mathrm{~L}=\) TRUE
20 return L
```

The running time of EGJ varies between the best $\Theta(1)$ and the worst $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$.

### 5.6 Linear Erdős-Gallai algorithm

Recently we could improve Erdős-Gallai algorithm [36, 37]. The new algorithm Erdős-Gallai-Linear exploits, that $q$ is monotone. It determines the capacities $C_{i}$ in constant time. The base of the quick computation is the sequence $w(b)$ containing the weight points $w_{i}$ of the elements of the input sequence $b$.

For given sequence b let $w(\mathrm{~b})=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{\mathfrak{n}-1}\right)$, where $w_{\mathfrak{i}}$ gives the index of $b_{k}$ having the maximal index among such elements of $b$ which are greater or equal to $i$.

Theorem 8 (Iványi, Lucz [36], Iványi, Lucz, Móri, Sótér [37]) If $\mathfrak{n} \geq 1$, then
the n -regular sequence $\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~b}_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)$ is n -graphical if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}} \text { is even } \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $\mathfrak{i}>\boldsymbol{w}_{\mathfrak{i}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i} \leq i(i-1)+H_{n}-H_{i}, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

further if $\mathfrak{i} \leq w_{i}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}} \leq \mathfrak{i}(\mathfrak{i}-1)+\mathfrak{i}\left(w_{i}-\mathfrak{i}\right)+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{H}_{w_{i}} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (18) is the same as (1).
During the testing of the elements of $b$ by Erdős-Gallai-Linear there are two cases:

- if $\mathfrak{i}>w_{i}$, then the contribution of the tail of $b$ equals to $H_{n}-H_{i}$, since the contribution $C_{k}$ of the element $b_{k}$ is only $b_{k}$.
- if $\mathfrak{i} \leq w_{1}$, then the contribution of the tail of $\mathfrak{b}$ consists of contributions of two types: $C_{i+1}, \ldots, C_{m_{i}}$ are equal to $i$, while $C_{j}=b_{j}$ for $\mathfrak{j}=\mathfrak{m}_{i}+$ $1, \ldots, n$.
Therefore in the case $n-1 \geq \mathfrak{i}>w_{i}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}=\mathfrak{i}(i-1)+H_{n}-H_{i}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in the case $1 \leq i \leq w_{i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}=\mathfrak{i}(\mathfrak{i}-1)+\mathfrak{i}\left(w_{i}-\mathfrak{i}\right)+H_{n}-H_{w_{i}} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following program is based on Theorem 88. It decides on arbitrary $n$ regular sequence whether it is $n$-graphical or not.

Input. $n$ : number of vertices ( $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$ ); $b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right): n$-regular sequence.

Output. L: logical variable, whose value is True, if the input is graphical, and it is False, if the input is not graphical.

Work variables. $\mathfrak{i}$ and $\mathfrak{j}$ : cycle variables; $H=\left(H_{1}, \ldots, H_{n}\right): H_{i}$ is the sum of the first $i$ elements of the tested $b ;$ $\mathrm{b}_{0}$ : auxiliary element of the vector b
$w=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{\mathfrak{n}-1}\right): w_{i}$ is the weight point of $b_{i}$, that is the maximum of the indices of such elements of $\mathfrak{b}$, which are not smaller than $\mathfrak{i}$;
$\mathrm{H}_{0}=0$ : help variable to compute the other elements of the sequence H ; $b_{0}=n-1$ : help variable to compute the elements of the sequence $w$.

```
Erdős-Gallai-Linear ( \(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{L}\) )
\(01 \mathrm{H}_{0}=0 \quad / /\) line 01: initialization
02 for \(\mathfrak{i}=1\) to \(n \quad / /\) lines 02-03: computation of the elements of \(H\)
\(03 \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}-1}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}\)
04 if \(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}\) odd // lines 04-06: test of the parity
\(05 \mathrm{~L}=\) FALSE
06 return L
\(07 \mathrm{~b}_{0}=\mathrm{n}-1 \quad / /\) line 07 : initialization of a working variable
08 for \(\mathfrak{i}=1\) to \(n \quad / /\) lines 08-12: computation of the weights
\(09 \quad\) if \(b_{i}<b_{i-1}\)
\(10 \quad\) for \(j=b_{i-1}\) downto \(b_{i}+1\)
\(11 \quad w_{j}=\mathfrak{i}-1\)
\(12 \quad w_{b_{i}}=i\)
13 for \(\mathfrak{j}=b_{n}\) downto \(1 \quad / /\) lines 13-14: large weights
\(14 \quad w_{j}=n\)
15 for \(\mathfrak{i}=1\) to \(\mathrm{n} \quad / /\) lines 15-23: test of the elements of b
16 if \(i \leq w_{i} \quad / /\) lines 16-19: test of indices for large \(w_{i}\) 's
17 if \(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}>\mathfrak{i}(\mathfrak{i}-1)+\mathfrak{i}\left(w_{i}-\mathfrak{i}\right)+\mathrm{H}_{n}-\mathrm{H}_{w_{i}}\)
                                    \(\mathrm{L}=\) FALSE
return L
if \(i>w_{i} \quad / /\) lines 20-23: test of indices for small \(w_{i}\) 's
if \(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}>\mathfrak{i}(\mathrm{i}-1)+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}\)
                                    \(\mathrm{L}=\) FALSE
                                    return L
\(24 \mathrm{~L}=\) True \(\quad / /\) lines \(24-25\) : the program ends with the value True
25 return L
```

Theorem 9 (Iványi, Lucz [36], Iványi, Lucz, Móri, Sótér [37]) Algorithm Erdős-Gallai-Linear decides in $\Theta(n)$ time, whether an $n$-regular sequence $\mathrm{b}=\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~b}_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)$ is graphical or not.

Proof. Line 1 requires $\mathrm{O}(1)$ time, lines $2-3 \Theta(n)$ time, lines 4-6 $\mathrm{O}(1)$ time, line $07 \mathrm{O}(1)$ time, lines 08-12 $\mathrm{O}(1)$ time, lines 13-14 $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ time, lines 15-23 $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ time and lines $24-25 \mathrm{O}(1)$ time, therefore the total time requirement of the algorithm is $\Theta(n)$.

Since in the case of a graphical sequence all elements of the investigated sequence are to be tested, in the case of RAM model of computations [17] Erdős-Gallai-Linear is asymptotically optimal.

## 6 Running time of the precise testing algorithms

We tested the precise algorithms determining their the total running time for all the even sequences. The set of the even sequences is the smallest such set of sequences, whose the cardinality we know exact and explicite formula. The number of $n$-bounded sequences $K(n)$ is also known, but this function grows too quickly when $n$ grows.

If we would know the average running time of the bounded sequences we would take into account that is is sufficient to weight the running times of the regular sequences with the corresponding frequencies. For example a homogeneous sequence consisting of identical elements would get a unit weight since it corresponds to only one bounded sequence, while a rainbow sequence consisting is $n$ different elements as e.g. the sequence $n, n-1, \ldots, 1$ corresponds to $n$ ! different bounded sequences and therefore would get a corresponding weight equal to $n$ !.

We follow two ways of the decreasing of the running time of the precise algorithms. The first way is the decreasing of the number of the executable operations. The second way is, that we try to use quick (linear time) preprocessing algorithms for the filtering of the sequences in order to decrease of the part of sequences requiring the relative slow precise algorithms.

For the first type of decrease of the expected running time is the shortening of the sequences and the application of the checking points, while for the the second type are examples the completion of HH algorithm with the parity checking or the completion of the EG algorithm with the binomial and headsplitted algorithms.

In this section we investigate the following precise algorithms:

1) Havel-Hakimi-Shorting (HHSo).
2) Havel-Hakimi-Shifting (HHSh).
3) Erdős-Gallai algorithm (EG).
4) Erdős-Gallai-Jumping algorithm (EGJ).
5) Erdős-Gallai-Linear algorithm (EGL).

Figure 5 contains the total number of operations of the algorithms HHSo, HHSh, EG, and EGL required for the testing of all even sequences of length $n=1, \ldots, 15$. The operations necessary to generate the sequences are included.

Comparison of the first two columns shows that algorithm HHSh is much quicker than HHSo, especially if $n$ increases. Comparison of the third and fourth columns shows that we get substantial decrease of the running time if we have to test the input sequence only in the check points. Finally the

| n | HHSo | HHSh | EG | EGJ | EGL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10 | 15 | 87 | - | - |
| 2 | 40 | 61 | 119 | 12 | 37 |
| 3 | 231 | 236 | 267 | 116 | 148 |
| 4 | 1170 | 1052 | 946 | 551 | 585 |
| 5 | 5969 | 4477 | 4000 | 2677 | 2339 |
| 6 | 31121 | 20153 | 18206 | 12068 | 9539 |
| 7 | 157345 | 88548 | 82154 | 54184 | 38984 |
| 8 | 784341 | 393361 | 372363 | 238813 | 160126 |
| 9 | 3628914 | 1726484 | 1666167 | 1666167 | 656575 |
| 10 | 17345700 | 7564112 | 7418447 | 4552276 | 2692240 |
| 11 | 80815538 | 32895244 | 32737155 | 19680986 | 11018710 |
| 12 | 385546527 | 142460352 | 143621072 | 84608529 | 45049862 |
| 13 | 1740003588 | 613739913 | 626050861 | 362141061 | 183917288 |
| 14 | 8066861973 | 2633446908 | 2715026827 | 1543745902 | 750029671 |
| 15 | 36630285216 | 11254655388 | 11717017238 | 6557902712 | 3055289271 |

Figure 5: Total number of operations as the function of $\mathfrak{n}$ for precise algorithms HHSo, HHSh, EG, EGJ, and EGL.
comparison of the third and fifth columns demonstrates the advantages of a linear algorithm over a quadratic one.

Figure 6 shows the running time of Erdős-Gallai-Linear in secundum and operation, and also the amortized number of operation/even sequence.

The most interesting data of Figure 6 are in the last column: they show that the number of operations/investigated sequence/length of the investigated sequence is monotone decreasing (see [70]).

Figure 7 shows the distribution of the $E(n)-G(n)$ even nongraphical sequences according to the number of tests made by Erdős-Gallai-Jumping to exclude the given sequence for $n=3, \ldots, 15$ vertices. $f_{i}(n)=f_{i}$ gives the frequency of even nongraphical sequences of length $n$, which requeired exactly $i$ round of the test.

These data show, that the maximal number of tests is about $\frac{n}{2}$ in all lines.
Figure 8 shows the average number of required rounds for the nongraphical, graphical and all even sequences. The data of the column belonging to $G(n)$ are computed using Lemma. It is remarkable that the sequences of the coefficients are monotone decreasing in the last three columns.

Figure 9 presents the distribution of the graphical sequences according to their first element. These data help at the design of the algorithm Erdős-Gallai-Enumerating which computes the new values of $\mathrm{G}(\mathfrak{n})$ (in the slicing

| n | $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n}), \mathrm{s}$ | $\mathrm{Op}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n}) / \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{n}) / \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{s}$ | $\mathrm{Op}(\mathrm{n}) / \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{n}) / \mathrm{n}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 9.25000000000 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 148 | 0 | 8.22222222222 |
| 4 | 19 | 0 | 585 | 0 | 7.69736842105 |
| 5 | 66 | 0 | 2339 | 0 | 7.08787878788 |
| 6 | 236 | 0 | 9539 | 0 | 6.73658192090 |
| 7 | 868 | 0 | 38984 | 0 | 6.41606319947 |
| 8 | 3235 | 0 | 160126 | 0 | 6.18724884080 |
| 9 | 12190 | 0 | 656575 | 0 | 5.98464132714 |
| 10 | 46252 | 0 | 269240 | 0 | 5.82080774885 |
| 11 | 176484 | 0 | 11018710 | 0 | 5.67587378511 |
| 12 | 676270 | 0 | 45049862 | 0 | 5.55126675243 |
| 13 | 2600612 | 0 | 183917288 | 0 | 5.44005937537 |
| 14 | 10030008 | 1 | 750029671 | 0.000000007121487 | 5.34132654018 |
| 15 | 38781096 | 5 | 3055289271 | 0.000000008595253 | 5.25219687963 |
| 16 | 150273315 | 23 | 12434367770 | 0.000000009565903 | 5.17156346504 |
| 17 | 583407990 | 79 | 50561399261 | 0.000000007965367 | 5.09797604337 |
| 18 | 2268795980 | 297 | 205439740365 | 0.00000000727258 | 5.03056202928 |

Figure 6: Total and amortized running time of Erdős-Gallai-Linear in secundum, resp. in the number of executed operations.

| $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{n})-\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{i}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{7}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | 5 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 134 | 6 | 122 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 526 | 7 | 459 | 65 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2022 | 8 | 1709 | 289 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7829 | 9 | 6421 | 1228 | 176 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30236 | 10 | 24205 | 4951 | 1013 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 115136 | 11 | 91786 | 19603 | 5126 | 610 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| 454153 | 12 | 349502 | 76414 | 23755 | 4274 | 208 | 0 | 0 |
| 1764297 | 13 | 1336491 | 296036 | 104171 | 25293 | 2277 | 29 | 0 |
| 6863156 | 14 | 5128246 | 1142470 | 439155 | 133946 | 18673 | 666 | 0 |
| 26738476 | 15 | 19739076 | 4404813 | 1803496 | 655291 | 127116 | 8603 | 81 |

Figure 7: Distribution of the even nongraphical sequences according to the number of tests made by Erdős-Gallai-Jumping to exclude the given sequence for $n=3, \ldots, 15$.
of the computations belonging to a given value of $\mathfrak{n}$ ).

| $n$ | $E(n)$ | $G(n)$ | $E(n)-G(n)$ | average of <br> $E(n)-G(n)$ | average of <br> $G(n)$ | average of <br> $E(n)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | $0.3333 n$ | $0.8000 n$ | $0.6444 n$ |
| 4 | 19 | 11 | 8 | $0.3125 n$ | $0.5714 n$ | $0.4661 n$ |
| 5 | 66 | 31 | 35 | $0.2114 n$ | $0.5555 n$ | $0.3730 n$ |
| 6 | 236 | 102 | 134 | $0.1967 n$ | $0.5455 n$ | $0.3730 n$ |
| 7 | 868 | 342 | 526 | $0.1649 n$ | $0.5385 n$ | $0.3475 n$ |
| 8 | 3233 | 1213 | 2020 | $0.1458 n$ | $0.5333 n$ | $0.2911 n$ |
| 9 | 12190 | 4363 | 7829 | $0.1337 n$ | $0.5294 n$ | $0.2753 n$ |
| 10 | 46232 | 16016 | 30216 | $0.1249 n$ | $0.5263 n$ | $0.2700 n$ |
| 11 | 174484 | 59348 | 115136 | $0.1175 n$ | $0.5238 n$ | $0.2557 n$ |
| 12 | 676270 | 222117 | 454153 | $0.1085 n$ | $0.5217 n$ | $0.2444 n$ |
| 13 | 2603612 | 836313 | 1767299 | $0.1035 n$ | $0.5200 n$ | $0.2373 n$ |
| 14 | 10030008 | 3166852 | 6863156 | $0.0960 n$ | $0.5185 n$ | $0.2294 n$ |
| 15 | 38761096 | 12042620 | 26718476 | $0.0934 n$ | $0.5172 n$ | $0.2251 n$ |

Figure 8: Weighted average number of tests made by Erdős-Gallai-Jumping while investigating the even sequences for $n=3, \ldots, 15$.

| $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{b}_{1}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 10 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 22 | 35 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 34 | 78 | 110 | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1 | 4 | 18 | 54 | 138 | 267 | 389 | 342 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 | 4 | 23 | 74 | 223 | 503 | 968 | 1352 | 1213 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1 | 5 | 28 | 104 | 333 | 866 | 1927 | 3496 | 4895 | 4361 |  |  |
| 11 | 1 | 5 | 34 | 134 | 479 | 1356 | 3471 | 7221 | 12892 | 17793 | 16016 |  |
| 12 | 1 | 6 | 40 | 176 | 661 | 2049 | 5591 | 13270 | 27449 | 47757 | 65769 | 59348 |

Figure 9: The distribution of the graphical sequences according to $b_{1}$ for $\mathfrak{n}=$ $1, \ldots, 12$.

We see in Figure 9 that from $n=6$ the multiplicities increase up to $n-2$, and the last positive value is smaller then the last but one element.

## 7 Enumerative results

Until now for example Avis and Fukuda 4], Barnes and Savage [5, 6], Burns [13], Erdős and Moser [60], Erdös and Richmond [22], Frank, Savage and Selers [25], Kleitman and Winston [48], Kleitman and Wang [47], Metropolis and Stein [57], Rødseth et al. [69], Ruskey et al. [70], Stanley [80], Simion [72] and Winston and Kleitman [88] published results connected with the enumeration of degree sequences. Results connected with the number of sequences investigated by us can be found in the books of Sloane és Ploffe [78], further Stanley [81] and in the free online database On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [75, 76, 77]
It is easy to show, that if $l, u$ and $m$ are integers, further $u \geq l, m \geq 1$, and $l \leq b_{i} \leq u$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$, then the number of $(l, u, m)$-bounded sequences $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ of integer numbers $K(l, u, m)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(l, u, m)=(u-l+1)^{m} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known (e.g. see [40, page 65]), that if $l, u$ and $m$ are integers, further $u \geq l$ and $m \geq 1$, and $u \geq b_{1} \geq \cdots \geq b_{n} \geq l$, then the number of $(l, u, m)-$ regular sequences of integer numbers $R(l, u, m)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(l, u, m)=\binom{u-l+m}{m} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following two special cases of (24) are useful in the design of the algorithm Erdős-Gallai-Enumerating.

If $n \geq 1$ is an integer, then the number of $R(0, n-1, n)$-regular sequences is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(0, n-1, n)=R(n)=\binom{2 n-1}{n} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n \geq 1$ is an integer, then the number of $R(1, n-1, n)$-regular sequences is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(1, n-1, n)=R_{z}(n)=\binom{2 n-2}{n} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 1987 Ascher derived the following explicit formula for the number of $n$-even sequences $E(n)$.

Lemma 10 (Ascher [3], Sloane, Pfoffe [78]) If $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$, then the number of $n$-even sequences $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{n})$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(n)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\binom{2 n-1}{n}+\binom{n-1}{\lfloor n\rfloor}\right) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. See [3, 78].
At the designing and analysis of the results of the simulation experiments is useful, if we know some features of the functions $R(n)$ and $E(n)$.

Lemma 11 If $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{R(n+2)}{R(n+1)}>\frac{R(n+1)}{R(n)},  \tag{28}\\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R(n+1)}{R(n)}=4, \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

further

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4^{n}}{\sqrt{4 \pi n}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n}\right)<R(n)<\frac{4^{n}}{\sqrt{4 \pi n}}\left(1-\frac{1}{8 n+8}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. On the base of (25) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R(n+2)}{R(n+1)}=\frac{(2 n+3)!(n+1) n!}{(n+2)!(n+1)!(2 n+1)!}=\frac{4 n+6}{n+2}=4-\frac{2}{n+2} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

from where we get directly (28) and (29).
Using Lemma 12 we can give the precise asymptotic order of growth of $E(n)$.
Lemma 12 If $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{E(n+2)}{E(n+1)}>\frac{E(n+1)}{E(n)}  \tag{32}\\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(n+1)}{E(n)}=4 \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

further

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4^{n}}{\sqrt{\pi n}}\left(1-D_{3}(n)\right)<E(n)<\frac{4^{n}}{\sqrt{\pi n}}\left(1-D_{4}(n)\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{D}_{3}(\mathrm{n})$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4}(\mathrm{n})$ are monotone decreasing functions tending to zero.
Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 11 ,
Comparison of (25) and Lemma 12 shows, that the order of growth of numbers of even and odd sequences is the same, but there are more even sequences than odd. Figure 1 contains the values of $R(n), E(n)$ and $E(n) / R(n)$ for $\mathrm{n}=1, \ldots, 37$.

As the next assertion and Figure 1 show, the sequence of the ratios $E(n) / R(n)$ is monotone decreasing and tends to $\frac{1}{2}$.

Corollary 13 If $n \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E(n+1)}{R(n+1)}<\frac{E(n)}{R(n)} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(n)}{R(n)}=\frac{1}{2} . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This assertion is a direct consequence of (25) and (27).

The expected value of the number of jumping elements has a substantial influence on the running time of algorithms using the jumping elements. Therefore the following two assertions are useful.

The number of different elements in an $n$-bounded sequence $b$ is called the rainbow number of the sequence, and it will be denoted by $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{b})$.

Lemma 14 Let b be a random n -bounded sequence. Then the expectation and variance of its rainbow number are as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left[r_{n}(b)\right]= & n\left[1-\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{n}\right]=n\left(1-\frac{1}{e}\right)+O(1),  \tag{37}\\
\operatorname{Var}\left[r_{n}(b)\right]= & n\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{n}\left[1-\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{n}\right] \\
& +n(n-1)\left[\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right)^{n}-\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2 n}\right] \\
= & \frac{n}{e}\left(1-\frac{2}{e}\right)+O(1) . \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $\xi_{i}$ denote the indicator of the event that number $i$ is not contained in a random $n$-bounded sequence. Then the rainbow number of a random sequence is $n-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \xi_{i}$, hence its expectation equals $n-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} E\left[\xi_{i}\right]$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left[\xi_{i}\right]=\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{n} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds independently of $\mathfrak{i}$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~b})\right]=\mathrm{n}\left[1-\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}\right] . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left[r_{n}(b)\right]=\operatorname{Var}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \xi_{i}\right]=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \operatorname{Var}\left[\xi_{i}\right]+2 \sum_{0 \leq i<j \leq n-1} \operatorname{cov}\left[\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right] . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left[\xi_{i}\right]=\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{n}\left[1-\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{n}\right] \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{cov}\left[\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right]=\mathrm{E}\left[\xi_{i} \xi_{j}\right]-\mathrm{E}\left[\xi_{i}\right] \mathrm{E}\left[\xi_{j}\right]=\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right)^{n}-\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2 \mathrm{n}}, \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

implying (38).
We remark that this lemma answers a question of Imre Kátai 41] posed in connection with the speed of computers having interleaved memory and with checking algorithms of some puzzles (e.g sudoku).

Lemma 15 The number of ( $0, \mathrm{n}-1, \mathrm{~m}$ )-regular sequences composed from k distinct numbers is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n}{k}\binom{m-1}{k}, k=1, \ldots, n . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, the distribution of the rainbow number $\mathrm{r}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathrm{b})$ of a random $(0, n-1, m)$-regular sequence $b$ is hypergeometric with parameters $n+m-1$, n , and m .

Proof. The k-set of distinct elements of the sequence can be selected from $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ in $\binom{n}{k}$ ways. Having this values selected we can tell their multiplicities in $\binom{m-1}{k-1}$ ways. Let us consider the $k$ blocks of identical elements. The first one starts with $b_{1}$, and the starting position of the other $k-1$ blocks can be selected in $\binom{m-1}{k-1}$ ways.

From this the expectation and the variance of a random $\mathfrak{n}$-regular sequence follow immediately.

Corollary 16 Let b be a random n-regular sequence. Then the expectation and the variance of its rainbow number $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{b})$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left[r_{n}(b)\right] & =\frac{n^{2}}{2 n-1}=\frac{n}{2}+\frac{n}{4 n-2}=\frac{n}{2}+O(1),  \tag{45}\\
\operatorname{Var}\left[r_{n}(b)\right] & =\frac{n^{2}(n-1)}{2(2 n-1)^{2}}=\frac{n}{8}+\frac{n}{128 n^{2}-128 n+32}=\frac{n}{8}+O(1) . \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 17 Let b be a random n-regular sequence. Let us write it in the form $\mathrm{b}=\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}^{\mathrm{e}_{1}}, \ldots, \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{r}}}\right)$. Then the expected value of the exponents $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left[e_{j} \mid \mathrm{r}(\mathrm{~b}) \geq \mathrm{j}\right]=4+\mathrm{o}(1) . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{j})$ denote the number of $n$-regular sequences with rainbow number not less than $\mathfrak{j}$. By Lemma 15,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(n, j)=\sum_{k=j}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\binom{n-1}{k-1} . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us turn to the number of n-regular sequences with rainbow number not less than $j$ and $e_{j}=\ell$. This is equal to the number of $(0, n-1, n-\ell+1)$-regular sequences containing at least $j$ different numbers, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=j}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\binom{n-\ell}{k-1} . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this the sum of $e_{j}$ over all $n$-regular sequences with $e_{j}>0$ is equal to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{\ell=1}^{n-j+1} \ell \sum_{k=j}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\binom{n-\ell}{k-1}=\sum_{k=j} n\binom{n}{k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-j+1}\binom{\ell}{1}\binom{n-\ell}{k-1} \\
=\sum_{k=j}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\binom{n+1}{k+1}=c(n+1, j+1) . \tag{50}
\end{array}
$$

This can also be seen in a more direct way. Consider an arbitrary n-regular sequence with at least $\boldsymbol{j}+1$ blocks, then substitute the elements of the $\boldsymbol{j}+1$ st block with the number in the jth block (that is, concatenate this two adjacent blocks) and delete one element from the united block; finally, decrease by 1 all elements in the subsequent blocks. In this way one obtains an $n$-regular sequence with at least $\mathfrak{j}$ blocks, and it easy to see that every such sequence is obtained exactly $e_{j}$ times.

Thus the expectation to be computed is just

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c(n+1, j+1)}{c(n, j)} . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $c(n, 1)=R(0, n-1, n)=\binom{2 n-1}{n}$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(n, j)=\binom{2 n-1}{n}-\sum_{k=1}^{j-1}\binom{n}{k}\binom{n-1}{k-1}=\binom{2 n-1}{n}+O\left(n^{2 j-3}\right), \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This is asymptotically equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\binom{2 n+1}{n+1}}{\binom{2 n-1}{n}}=\frac{4 n+2}{n+1}=4-\frac{2}{n+1}=4+o(1) . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is interesting to observe that by (45) the average block length in a random $n$-regular sequence is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=1}^{r} e_{j}=\frac{n}{r(b)} \approx 2 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

approximately, as $\mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \infty$. This fact could be interpreted as if blocks in the beginning of the sequence were significantly longer. However, fixing $r_{n}(b)=r$ we find that the lengths of the $r$ blocks are exchangeable random variables with equal expectation $\mathfrak{n} / \mathrm{r}$. At first sight this two facts seem to be in contradiction. The explanation is that exchangability only holds conditionally. Blocks in the beginning do exist even for smaller rainbow numbers, when the average block length is big, while blocks with large index can only appear when there are many short blocks in the sequence.

The following assertion gives the number of zerofree sequences and the ratio of the numbers of zerofree and regular sequences.

Corollary 18 The number of the zerofree $n$-regular sequences $R_{z}(n)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{z}(n)=\binom{2 n-2}{n-1} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_{z}(n)}{R(n)}=\frac{1}{2} . \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (55) identical with (24), (56) is a direct consequence of (24) and (25).
As the experimental data in Figure 8 show, $\frac{\mathrm{E}_{z}(\mathfrak{n})}{\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{n})} \approx \frac{1}{4}$.
The following lemma allows that the algorithm Erdős-Gallai-EnumeraING tests only the zerofree even sequences instead of the even sequences.

Lemma 19 If $\mathrm{n} \geq 2$, then the number of the n -graphical sequences $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{n})$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(n)=G(n-1)+G_{z}(n) . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If an $n$-graphical sequence $b$ contains at least one zero, that is $b_{n}=0$, then $b^{\prime}=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}\right)$ is $(n-1)$-graphical or not. If $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)$ is an ( $n-1$ )-graphical sequence, then $a^{\prime}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, 0\right)$ is $n$-graphical.

The set of the $n$-graphical sequences $S$ consists of two subsets: set of zerofree sequences $S_{1}$ and the set of sequences $S_{2}$ containing at least one zero. There is a bijection between the set of the ( $n-1$ )-graphical sequences and such $n$ graphical sequences, which contain at least one zero. Therefore $|S|=\left|S_{1}\right|+$ $\left|S_{2}\right|=G_{z}(n)+G(n-1)$.

Corollary 20 If $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{n})=1+\sum_{\mathrm{i}=2}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{G}_{z}(\mathrm{n}) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Concrete calculation gives $\mathrm{G}(1)=1$. Then using (57) and induction we get (58).

A promising direction of researches connected with the characterization of the function $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{n})$ is the decomposition of the even integers into members and the investigation, which decompositions represent a graphical sequence [5, 6, 13]. Using this approach Burns proved the following asymptotic bounds in 2007.

Theorem 21 (Burns [13]) There exist such positive constants c and C , that the following bounds of the function $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{n})$ is true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4^{n}}{c n}<G(n)<\frac{4^{n}}{(\log n)^{C} \sqrt{n}} . \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. See [13].
This result implies that the asymptotic density of the graphical sequences is zero among the even sequences.

Corollary 22 If $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$, then there exists a positive constant C such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{n})}{\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{n})}<\frac{1}{\left(\log _{2} n\right)^{\mathrm{C}}} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(n)}{E(n)}=0 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (60) is a direct consequence of (27) and (60), and (60) implies (61).
As Figure 1 and Figure 8 show, the convergence of the ratio $G(n) / E(n)$ is relative slow.

## 8 Number of graphical sequences

Erdős-Gallai-Enumerating algorithm (EGE) [37] generates and tests for given $n$ every zerofree even sequence. Its input is $n$ and output is the number of corresponding zerofree graphical sequences $\mathrm{G}_{z}(\mathrm{n})$.

The algorithm is based on Erdős-Gallai-Linear algorithm. It generates and tests only the zerofree even sequences, that is according to Corollary 5 and Figure 8 about the 25 percent of the $n$-regular sequences.

EGE tests the input sequences only in the checking points. Corollary shows that about the half of the elements of the input sequences are check points.

Figure contains data showing that EGE investigates even less than the half of the elements of the input sequences.

Important property of EGE is that it solves in $\mathrm{O}(1)$ expected time

1. the generation of one input sequence;
2. the updating of the vector H ;
3. the updating of the vector of checking points C ;
4. the updating of the vector of the weight points $W$.

We implemented the parallel version of EGE (EGEP). It was run on about 200 PC's containing about 700 cores. The total running time of EGEP is contained in Figure 10

The pseudocode of the algorithm see in [37]. The amortized running time of this algorithm for a sequence is $\Theta(1)$, so the total running time of the whole program is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{n}))$.

## 9 Summary

In Figure 1 the values of $R(n)$ up to $n=24$ are the elements of the sequence A001700 of OEIS [75], the values of $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{n})$ up to $n=23$ are the elements of the sequence A005654 [77] of the OEIS, and in Figure 2] the values G(n) are up to

| n | running time (in days) | number of slices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | 7 | 415 |
| 25 | 26 | 415 |
| 26 | 70 | 435 |
| 27 | 316 | 435 |
| 28 | 1130 | 2001 |
| 29 | 6733 | 15119 |

Figure 10: The runnng time of EGEP for $n=24, \ldots, 29$.
$n=23$ are the elements of sequence A0004251-es [76] of OEIS. The remaining values are new [37, 38].

Figure 2 contains the number of graphical sequences $G(n)$ for $n=1, \ldots, 29$, and also $G(n+1) / G(n)$ for $n=1, \ldots, 29$.

The referenced manuscripts, programs and further simulation results can be found at the homepage of the authors, among others at http://compalg.inf.elte.hu/~tony/Kutatas/EGHH/.
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