# On canonical expansions of integers in imaginary quadratic fields

### Attila Kovács

#### Abstract

In [6] a complete description was given for the location, number and structural properties of attractors generated by the discrete dynamic of the system  $(\theta, \mathcal{A})$ , where  $\theta$  is an arbitrary Gaussian integer with norm N greater than one and canonical digit set  $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$ . In this paper we shall extend this result to the integers in imaginary quadratic fields. On the other hand it is also an extension of the result of Kátai, B. Kovács ([4]) and of Gilbert ([1]). AMS classif.:11A63, 11Y55

### 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the field of rational numbers,  $\mathbb{Q}(\vartheta)$  its extension generated by  $\vartheta$ . Let  $\mathbb{Q}[\vartheta]$  denote the integers of  $\mathbb{Q}(\vartheta)$ . Let  $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}[\vartheta]$  be an algebraic integer for which  $\theta$  and all its conjugates have moduli greater than one. Let  $N = |\operatorname{Norm}(\theta)| \geq 2$  and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a complete residue system modulo  $\theta$ . The pair  $(\theta, \mathcal{A}), \ \mathcal{A} = \{0, a_1, \ldots, a_{N-1}\}$  is called a number system in  $\mathbb{Q}[\vartheta]$  if for each  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}[\vartheta]$  there exist an  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $a_j \in \mathcal{A}$   $(j = 0, 1, \ldots, m)$  such that

 $\gamma = a_0 + a_1\theta + \ldots + a_m\theta^m.$ 

The uniqueness of the expansion follows from the assumption that any two elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  are incongruent modulo  $\theta$ . The problem of characterizing number systems in various rings in general seems to be hard. In this note we shall always consider the canonical digit set  $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$  as it appears to be the obvious generalization of the traditional number systems. In this case all those integers  $\theta$  in quadratic number fields can be given for which  $(\theta, \mathcal{A})$  are number systems. **Theorem 1.1** (Kátai and B. Kovács [3, 4], Gilbert [1]): Let  $\theta$  be a quadratic integer with minimal polynomial  $x^2 + Ex + F$  and  $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, \ldots, |F| - 1\}$ . Then  $(\theta, \mathcal{A})$  is a number system if and only if  $F \ge 2$  and  $-1 \le E \le F$ .

The system  $(\theta, \mathcal{A})$  can be used to represent all the integers  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}[\vartheta]$  even if it is not a number system. Clearly, for each  $\gamma$  there exist a unique  $a_j \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\theta \mid \gamma - a_j$ . Let  $\gamma_1 = \frac{\gamma - a_j}{\theta}$  and let us define the function  $\Phi : \mathbb{Q}[\vartheta] \to \mathbb{Q}[\vartheta]$ by  $\Phi(\gamma) = \gamma_1$ . Let  $\Phi^l$  denote the *l*-fold iterate of  $\Phi$ ,  $\Phi^0(\gamma) = \gamma_0$ . The sequence of integer vectors  $\Phi^j(\gamma_0) = \gamma_j$  (j = 1, 2, ...) is called the path of the dynamical system generated by  $\Phi$ . The concept of this kind of dynamic in algebraic number fields can be extended to the lattices of the *k*-dimensional Euclidean space. It was examined from algorithmical point of view in [5].

In the following we restrict our attention to the ring of integers in imaginary quadratic fields.

Let  $D \geq 2$  be a square-free integer. Let  $\mathbb{Q}(i\sqrt{D})$  be an imaginary quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , I be the set of integers in  $\mathbb{Q}(i\sqrt{D})$ . It is known, that if  $D \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  then  $\{1, \delta\}$ , while for  $D \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \{1, \omega\}$  is an integer basis in I, where  $\delta = i\sqrt{D}$ ,  $\omega = \frac{1+i\sqrt{D}}{2}$ . The lattice generated by the basis  $\{1, \delta\}$  will be called  $\delta$ -lattice and the other one is  $\omega$ -lattice.

A set of vectors  $\mathcal{V}_{M,j} \subset \mathbb{Z}^k$  is called *j*-canonical with respect to the integer matrix M  $(1 \leq j \leq k)$  if all of its elements have the form  $\nu \underline{e}_j$ , where  $\underline{e}_j$  denotes the *j*-th unit vector,  $\nu = 0, 1, \ldots, t-1$  and  $t = |\det(M)|$ . In [5] the following theorem was proved.

**Theorem 1.2** Let M be an invertible expanding linear operator of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  mapping  $\mathbb{Z}^k$  into itself and let  $\underline{c} = [c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k]^T \in \mathbb{Z}^k$  be the *j*-th column of the adjoint of M. (Here adjoint means the integer matrix, for which the elements are the adjoints of the appropriate subdeterminants.) Let  $\kappa_l = (c_l, t)$   $(l = 1, \ldots, k)$ . Let furthermore  $\tau_l = t/\kappa_l$ . Then the set  $\mathcal{V}_{M,j}$  forms a complete residue system (CRS) modulo M if and only if  $\operatorname{lcm}(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k) = t$ .

Let  $\alpha_1 = a + b\delta$  and  $\alpha_2 = a + b\omega$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0, E = \frac{D+1}{4}$ . In these cases the corresponding linear operators in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  are

$$M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a & -Db \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a & -Eb \\ b & a+b \end{bmatrix}$$

Clearly,  $\det(M_1) = a^2 + Db^2$  and  $\det(M_2) = a^2 + ab + Eb^2$ ; the first column of the adjoint of the matrices  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are  $[a, -b]^T$  and  $[a + b, -b]^T$ , accordingly. Suppose that (a, b) > 1. Theorem 1.2 immediately shows that in these cases the sets  $\{0, 1, \ldots, a^2 + Db^2 - 1\}$  and  $\{0, 1, \ldots, a^2 + ab + Eb^2 - 1\}$  can not be complete residue systems modulo  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , accordingly. Hence the following lemma holds.

**Lemma 1** For a given  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[i\sqrt{D}]$  ( $\alpha = a + b\delta$  or  $\alpha = a + b\omega$ ) there exist a canonical digit set  $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, \dots, \text{Norm}(\alpha) - 1\}$  if and only if (a, b) = 1.

Throughout this article we shall always assume that (a, b) = 1. Let  $\alpha \ (= \alpha_1 \text{ or } \alpha_2) \in I, (a, b) = 1, N = \operatorname{Norm}(\alpha), L = \frac{N-1}{|\alpha|-1} = |\alpha| + 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$  is a complete residue system modulo  $\alpha$ . Obviously, if  $|\gamma| \leq L$  then  $|\Phi(\gamma)| \leq \frac{L+N-1}{|\alpha|} = L$ , if  $|\gamma| > L$  then  $|\Phi(\gamma)| \leq \frac{|\gamma|+N-1}{|\alpha|} < |\gamma|$  for every  $\gamma \in I$ . Since the inequality  $|\kappa| \leq L$  holds only for finitely many integers  $\kappa \in I$ , therefore the path  $\gamma, \Phi(\gamma), \Phi^2(\gamma), \ldots$  is ultimately periodic for all  $\gamma \in I$ . An element  $\pi \in I$  is called periodic if there exist a  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\Phi^j(\pi) = \pi$ . The smallest such j is the length of the period of  $\pi$  generated by  $\Phi$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the set of all periodic elements. The basin of attraction of  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$  consists of all  $\gamma \in I$  for which there exists a  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $\Phi^j(\gamma) = \pi$  and is denoted by  $\mathcal{B}(\pi)$ . It is also clear that  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$  if and only if there is an l > 0 such that

$$\pi = a_0 + a_1 \alpha + \ldots + a_{l-1} \alpha^{l-1} + \pi \alpha^l, \quad a_j \in \mathcal{A}, \tag{1}$$

Let  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P})$  be the directed graph defined on  $\mathcal{P}$  by drawing an edge from  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$  to  $\Phi(\pi)$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P})$  is a disjoint union of directed cycles, where loops are allowed.  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P})$  is also called the attractor of I generated by  $\Phi$ . In this paper we give a complete description of the location, number and structural properties of the attractors generated by the discrete dynamic of the system  $(\alpha, \mathcal{A})$ , where  $\alpha \in I$ .

# 2 Periodic elements of period length one

Consider the  $\delta$ -lattice and let  $\alpha = a + b\delta$ ,  $a, b \neq 0$ , (a, b) = 1.

**Lemma 2.1** The loops in the system  $(\alpha, \mathcal{A})$  are  $\pi_j = \frac{1-a+b\delta}{(1-a,b)}j$ ,  $j = 0, \ldots, k$ , where  $k = \lfloor (1-a,b)(1+2\frac{a-1}{(1-a)^2+b^2D}) \rfloor$ .

**Proof:** It follows from (1) that  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$  is a loop if and only if  $\pi = d + \alpha \pi$ for some  $d \in \mathcal{A}$ . It means that  $(1 - \alpha)\pi = d \in \mathcal{A}$ , hence  $\pi = \frac{d}{1-\alpha} = \frac{d(1-a)}{(1-a)^2+b^2D} + \delta \frac{db}{(1-a)^2+b^2D}$ . Since  $\pi \in I$  therefore  $(1-a)^2 + b^2D \mid d(1-a,b)$ . On the other hand  $0 \leq d \leq a^2 + b^2D - 1$  by which the proof is completed. Consider now the  $\omega$ -lattice. Let  $\alpha = a + b\omega$ ,  $b \neq 0$ , (a,b) = 1,  $N = Norm(\alpha) = a^2 + ab + b^2E$ ,  $E = \frac{D+1}{4}$ . If E = 1, a = 0,  $b = \pm 1$  or E = 1,  $a = -b = \pm 1$  then  $|\alpha| = 1$ , so in the following we always excude these cases. Using the same idea as before the next lemma can be easily proved. We leave it to the reader.

**Lemma 2.2** The loops in the system  $(\alpha, \mathcal{A})$  are  $\pi_j = \frac{1-a-b+b\omega}{(1-a-b,b)}j$ ,  $j = 0, \ldots, k$ , where  $k = \lfloor (1-a-b,b)(1+\frac{2a+b-2}{(1-a)^2-(1-a)b+b^2E}) \rfloor$ .

### Remarks

(1) Let b > 0 be fixed. From these lemmas we can calculate the maximal number of loops. In the  $\delta$ -lattice this can be achieved by  $b \mid a - 1, a \ge 1$ , in which case it is b + 1. In the  $\omega$ -lattice we have two cases depending on the value of E. If  $E \ge 2$  then the maximal number of loops is b + 1 by  $b \mid a - 1, 2a + b \ge 2$ . If E = 1 then this value is b + 2, by a = 1 or by  $b \ge 1, a = b + 1$ .

(2) If a is positive then the element  $1 - a + b\delta \in \mathcal{P}$  of period length one. In the  $\omega$ -lattice, if  $2a + b \geq 2$  then the element  $1 - a - b + b\omega \in \mathcal{P}$  of period length one. Moreover, if  $E = 1, \#\mathcal{P} = b + 2$  then  $(1 - a - b)(b + 1)/b + (b + 1)\omega \in \mathcal{P}$  of period length one.

# **3** Location of periodic elements

Before we continue our analysis, we have some useful observations. (1) Let  $\gamma \in I$ ,  $\gamma \equiv 0 \mod \alpha$ ,  $\gamma_1 = \gamma + x$ ,  $\gamma_2 = \gamma + y$ ,  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then

$$\Phi(\gamma_1) = \Phi(\gamma_2). \tag{2}$$

(2) Let  $\alpha \in I, \pi \in \mathcal{P}$ , that is,  $\pi = a_0 + a_1\alpha + \ldots + a_{l-1}\alpha^{l-1} + \pi\alpha^l, a_j \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then

$$\overline{\pi} = a_0 + a_1 \overline{\alpha} + \ldots + a_{l-1} \overline{\alpha}^{l-1} + \overline{\pi} \overline{\alpha}^l, \quad a_j \in \mathcal{A}.$$
(3)

It means that if  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$  in  $(\alpha, \mathcal{A})$  then  $\overline{\pi} \in \mathcal{P}$  in  $(\overline{\alpha}, \mathcal{A})$ . If  $\alpha = a + b\delta$  then  $\overline{\alpha} = a - b\delta$ , if  $\alpha = a + b\omega$  then  $\overline{\alpha} = a + b - b\omega$ , so it is enough to examine the cases  $b \geq 1$ .

(3) It is known (see e.g. [5]) that if  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$  then

$$-\pi = \frac{d_1}{\alpha} + \frac{d_2}{\alpha^2} + \frac{d_3}{\alpha^3} + \cdots$$

for some  $d_i \in \mathcal{A}$ . It means that

$$\left| -\pi - \frac{d_1}{\alpha} \right| \le \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{|d_i|}{|\alpha|^i} \le \frac{(N-1)}{|\alpha|^2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{|\alpha|}} = \frac{|\alpha| + 1}{|\alpha|} = 1 + \frac{1}{|\alpha|}.$$

Hence

$$-\pi - \frac{d_1 \overline{\alpha}}{N} \bigg| \le 1 + \frac{1}{|\alpha|}.$$

$$\tag{4}$$

**Statement 1** Let  $\alpha \in I$  ( $\alpha = a + b\delta$  or  $\alpha = a + b\omega$ ), (a, b) = 1,  $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\alpha \mid e$  then  $N = \alpha \overline{\alpha} \mid e$ .

**Proof** If  $a + b\delta = \alpha \mid e$  then  $(a + b\delta)(c + d\delta) = ac - bdD + (ad + bc)\delta = e$  for some  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since (a, b) = 1 therefore c = ap and d = -bp for some  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $a^2p + b^2Dp = e$ , which means that  $a^2 + b^2D \mid e$ . If  $a + b\omega = \alpha \mid e$  then  $(a + b\omega)(c + d\omega) = ac - bdE + (ad + bc + bd)\omega = e$  for some  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Again, since (a, b) = 1 therefore c = (a + b)p and d = -bp for some  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It means that  $a(a + b)p + Eb^2p = e$ , by which the proof is finished.  $\Box$ 

### **3.1** Case $\alpha = a + ib\sqrt{D}$

Let  $\pi = U + V\delta \in \mathcal{P}$  and let  $\Phi(\pi) = U_1 + V_1\delta$ . By the definition of  $\Phi$  we have the following equations:

$$U = d + aU_1 - bDV_1 \tag{5}$$

$$V = bU_1 + aV_1, (6)$$

for some  $d \in \mathcal{A}$ . On the other hand using (4) we have that

$$\left| \left( -U - \frac{d_1 a}{N} \right) + \left( -V + \frac{d_1 b}{N} \right) \delta \right| \le 1 + \frac{1}{|\alpha|}.$$

$$\tag{7}$$

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $\alpha = a + b\delta$ , f = -a,  $b \ge 1$ ,  $S_1 = \{U + V\delta, -a + 1 \le U \le 0, 0 \le V \le b\}$ ,  $S_2 = \{U + V\delta, 0 \le U \le f, 0 \le V \le b - 1\}$ . Let  $\pi = U + V\delta \in \mathcal{P}$ . If  $a \ge 1$  then  $\pi \in S_1$ , if  $-a \ge 1$  then  $\pi \in S_2$ .

**Proof** Let  $|\alpha| \ge 2$ . Suppose that  $|U| \ge |a| + 2$ . Then using (7) we get that

$$a \mid +2 \leq \mid U \mid \leq \frac{3}{2} + \frac{\mid d_1 a \mid}{N} \leq \frac{3}{2} + \frac{N-1}{N} \mid a \mid,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $|U| \leq |a| + 1$ . Now suppose that  $D \geq 4$  and  $|V| \geq |b| + 1$ . Then

$$b+1 \le |V| \le \frac{3}{2|\delta|} + \frac{|d_1|b}{N} \le \frac{3}{2\sqrt{D}} + \frac{N-1}{N}b,$$

which is a contradiction again. Hence, if  $D \ge 4$  then  $|V| \le b$ . In the same way, if D = 2 then it is easy to see that  $|V| \le b + 1$ . On the other hand it follows from (7) that

$$-U - \frac{d_1 a}{N} \le \frac{3}{2},$$

therefore if a > 0 then  $U \le 1$ , if a < 0 then  $U \ge -1$ . It is obvious as well that

$$\left|-V + \frac{d_1 b}{N}\right| \le \frac{3}{2 \mid \delta \mid},$$

hence if D > 2 then  $V \ge 0$ , if D = 2 then  $V \ge -1$ .

Case  $a \ge 1$ . Let D = 2. Consider equation (6) and suppose that  $V_1 =$ b+1. Then we have that  $V = b(a + U_1) + a \le b + 1$ , therefore either  $U_1 = 0, a = 1$  or  $U_1 \leq -a$ . In the first case, if a = 1 then b > 1 and by (5) we get that U < -bD(b+1) + N - 1 = -bD < -2 = -(a+1)which is a contradiction. It means that  $(b+1)\delta$  can not be periodic. On the other hand, if  $U_1 = -a$  then  $a \leq b+1$ , therefore if  $a \geq 3$  then  $U \leq a \leq b \leq 1$  $-a^{2} - bD(b+1) + N - 1 = -bD - 1 \le (-a+1)D - 1 = -2a + 1 < -(a+1)$ which is not possible as well. If a = 2 then a = b + 1, therefore b = 1 and it is easy to check that in this case  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}) = \{-1 + \delta \rightarrow -1 + \delta, 0 \rightarrow 0\}$ . If  $a = 1, b \ge 2$  then  $U \le -2b - 1 \le -5$  which is a contradiction again. If  $U_1 = -a - 1$  then  $U \le -a^2 - a - bD(b+1) + N - 1 = -a - bD - 1 < -(a+1).$ We conclude that  $-(a+c) + (b+1)\delta$  (c = 0, 1) can not be periodic. Hence if  $U+V\delta \in \mathcal{P}$  then  $V \leq b$ . Suppose now that D=2 and  $V_1=-1$ . Then by (6) we get that  $-1 \leq V = bU_1 - a$ , therefore  $U_1 \geq 0$ . It is easy to see that in this case  $U \ge aU_1 + bD \ge 2$  which is a contradiction. We have that if  $U + V\delta \in \mathcal{P}$ then  $0 \leq V \leq b$ . Let  $D \geq 2$  and suppose that  $U_1 = 1$ . Then by (6) we have that  $V = b + aV_1 \leq b$ . Hence  $V_1 = 0$ , but obviously 1 can not be periodic. Suppose that  $U_1 = -a - 1$ . Then (6) shows that  $V \leq -b$  which is impossible. If  $U_1 = -a$  then we have that  $V_1 = b$ . Then, it follows from Statement 1, (2) and from Remark 2.2 that if  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  then  $-x - 1 + \alpha \in \mathcal{B}(1 - \overline{\alpha})$ . Finally, since  $2a + 1 \le a^2 + b^2 D$ , therefore  $-a + b\delta$  can not be periodic.

Case  $-a = f \ge 1$ . Suppose that D = 2 and  $V_1 = b + 1$ . Then  $-1 \le V = bU_1 - f(b+1) = b(U_1 - f) - f$ , therefore  $f - 1 \le b(U_1 - f)$ , so  $U_1 = f = 1$  or  $U_1 \ge f + 1$ . In the first case it follows from (5) that  $U \le -1 - bD(b+1) + N - 1 = -bD - 1 < -1$  which is a contradiction. In the second case, if  $U_1 = f + 1$  then  $U \le -f^2 - f - bD(b+1) + N - 1 = -f - bD - 1 < -1$  which is not possible as well. Hence if  $U + V\delta \in \mathcal{P}$  then  $V \le b$ . Now suppose that D = 2 and  $V_1 = -1$ . Then by (6) we get that  $V = bU_1 + f \le b$ , therefore  $U_1 \le 0$  and if  $U_1 = 0$  then  $f \le b$ , if  $U_1 = -1$  then  $f \le 2b$ . Hence, it follows from (5) that  $f + 1 \ge U \ge -fU_1 + bD \ge 2f$ . Since (f, b) = 1 therefore it is a contradiction. It is also clear that  $a + x + b\delta \in \mathcal{B}(0)$   $(x \in \mathcal{A})$  and  $2f + 2 \le f^2 + b^2D$ , therefore by (2) we have that  $V_1 \le b - 1$ . Hence, if  $U + V\delta \in \mathcal{P}$  then  $0 \le V \le b - 1$ . If  $U_1 = -1$  then  $0 \le V = -b - fV_1$ , therefore  $V_1 < 0$ , which is a contradiction. Suppose that  $U_1 = f + 1$ . Then using (6) we get that  $V = b(f + 1) - fV_1 \le b - 1$ , therefore  $V_1 > b$ , which is a contradiction as well.

If  $|\alpha| < 2$  then keeping in mind Theorem 1.1 we have to check only the case a = 1, b = 1, D = 2. It is easy to see that in this case  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}) = \{\delta \rightarrow \delta, 0 \rightarrow 0\}$ . The proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.1** If  $a \ge 1$  then  $\#\mathcal{P} \le b+1$ , if  $-a \ge 1$  then  $\#\mathcal{P} \le b$ .

**Proof** We have seen that if  $a \ge 1$  and  $\pi = U + b\delta \in \mathcal{P}$  then  $\pi = 1 - \overline{\alpha}$ . It is obvious that  $d \in \mathcal{B}(0)$  for each  $d \in \mathcal{A}$ . Now we shall examine the expansion of -1. Clearly,  $-1 = -1 + N - \alpha \overline{\alpha}$  and  $-\overline{\alpha} = -2a + \alpha$ . Since  $a \ge 1$  therefore  $-2a + \alpha = -2a + N - \alpha \overline{\alpha} + \alpha$ . Moreover, 0 < N - 2a < N - 1 and  $1 - \overline{\alpha} \in \mathcal{P}$ therefore  $-1 \in \mathcal{B}(1 - \overline{\alpha})$ . Hence the only rational integer periodic element is 0. Now, Theorem 3.1 and Statement 1 show that there does not exist any  $\rho \in S_1 \cup S_2$ ,  $(\rho \neq 0)$  for which  $\rho \equiv 0$  ( $\alpha$ ). In virtue of (2) and Statement 1 it is easy to see that if  $U + V\delta \in \mathcal{P}$  then there is not any  $Z, (Z \neq U)$  for which  $Z + V\delta \in \mathcal{P}$ . The proof is finished.  $\Box$ 

### **3.2** Case $\alpha = a + b\omega$

Let  $\pi = U + V\omega \in \mathcal{P}$  and let  $\Phi(\pi) = U_1 + V_1\omega$ . By the definition of  $\Phi$  we have the equations

$$U = d + aU_1 - bEV_1 \tag{8}$$

$$V = b(U_1 + V_1) + aV_1, (9)$$

for some  $d \in \mathcal{A}$ . On the other hand using (4) we have that

$$\left| \left( -U - \frac{d_1(a+b)}{N} \right) + \left( -V + \frac{d_1b}{N} \right) \omega \right| \le 1 + \frac{1}{|\alpha|}.$$

$$(10)$$

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $\alpha = a + b\omega$ ,  $f = -a, b \ge 1, T_1 = \{U + V\omega, -a - b + 1 \le U \le 0, 0 \le V \le b - 1\}$ ,  $T_2 = \{U + V\omega, 0 \le U \le f - b, 0 \le V \le b - 1\}$ . Let  $\pi = U + V\omega \in \mathcal{P}$ . If E = 1, a = 1 then  $\pi \in T_1 \cup \{1 - \overline{\alpha}, -b - 1 + (b + 1)\omega\}$ , if E = 1, a = b + 1 then  $\pi \in T_1 \cup \{1 - \overline{\alpha}, -a - b - 1 + (b + 1)\omega\}$ , if  $E \ge 2$  or E = 1, a > 1 and  $a \ne b + 1$  then  $\pi \in T_1 \cup \{1 - \overline{\alpha}\}$ , if  $1 \le f < b$  and  $2a + b \ge 2$  then  $\pi \in T_1 \cup \{1 - \overline{\alpha}\}$ , if  $1 \le f < b$  and 2a + b < 2 then  $\pi \in T_1$ , if f > b then  $\pi \in T_2$ . **Proof** Let  $|\alpha| \ge 3$ . Suppose that  $|U| \ge |a + b| + 2$ . Then using (10) we get

$$|a+b|+2 \le |U| \le \frac{4}{3} + \frac{|d_1(a+b)|}{N} \le \frac{4}{3} + \frac{N-1}{N} |a+b|,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $|U| \leq |a + b| + 1$ . Suppose that  $E \geq 2$  and  $|V| \geq |b| + 1$ . Then

$$b+1 \le |V| \le \frac{4}{3|\omega|} + \frac{|d_1|b}{N} \le \frac{4}{3\sqrt{E}} + \frac{N-1}{N}b,$$

which is a contradiction again. Hence, if  $E \ge 2$  then  $|V| \le b$ . In the same way, if E = 1 then it is easy to see that  $|V| \le b + 1$ . On the other hand it follows from (10) that

$$\left| -U - \frac{d_1(a+b)}{N} \right| \le \frac{4}{3}$$

therefore if a + b > 0 then  $U \le 1$ , if a + b < 0 then  $U \ge -1$ . It is obvious as well that

$$\left|-V + \frac{d_1 b}{N}\right| \le \frac{4}{3 \mid \omega \mid},$$

hence if  $E \ge 2$  then  $V \ge 0$ , if E = 1 then  $V \ge -1$ .

Case  $a \ge 1$ . Let E = 1. Consider equation (9) and suppose that  $V_1 = b + 1$ . Then we have that  $V = b(U_1 + a + b + 1) + a \le b + 1$ . Hence either

 $a = 1, U_1 = -b - 1$  or  $U_1 = -a - b - 1, 1 \le a \le b + 1$ . If  $a = 1, U_1 = -b - 1$ then by Lemma 2.2 we have that  $-b - 1 + (b + 1)\omega \in \mathcal{P}$  of period length one. If  $U_1 = -a - b - 1$  then by (8),(9) we get that  $1 \leq V = a \leq b + 1$  and U = -a - b - 1. Now, suppose that  $U_1 = -a - b - 1$ ,  $V_1 = a$ . In virtue of (9) we have that  $-1 \le V = -b^2 - b + a^2$ . It means that  $b(b+1) \le a^2 + 1$ , therefore a = b + 1. Hence, if a = 1 then  $-b - 1 + (b + 1)\omega \in \mathcal{P}$ , if  $a \ge 2$ and a = b + 1 then  $-a - b - 1 + (b + 1)\omega \in \mathcal{P}$  of period length one and does not exist any other periodic element  $X + Y\omega$  with Y = b + 1. Let E = 1 and  $V_1 = -1$ . Then by (9) we have that  $-1 \le V = b(U_1 - 1) - a$ , therefore  $U_1 = 1, a = 1$ . Using (8) we get that  $U \ge b + 1 \ge 2$  which is a contradiction. Let furthermore  $E \ge 1$ . Since  $2a + b \ge 2$  always holds, therefore by Remark 2.2 the element  $1 - a - b + b\omega \in \mathcal{P}$  of period length one. Clearly,  $a^2 + ab + b^2E > 2a + b + 1$  therefore there is not any other element  $X + Y\omega \in \mathcal{P}$  with Y = b. Suppose that  $U_1 = 1$  Then by (9) we have that  $0 \leq V = V_1(a+b) + b \leq b-1$  which is a contradiction. Suppose that  $U_1 = -a - b - c$ , (c = -1, 0, 1) and  $0 \le V_1 \le b - 1$ . Then by (9) we get that  $V = b(-a - b - c + V_1) + aV_1 \le b(-a - 1 - c) + ab - a = -a - b - bc < 0$ which is a contradiction again.

Case  $-a = f \ge 1$ . Let E = 1. Suppose that  $V_1 = b + 1$ . Then by (9) we have that  $-1 \leq V = b(U_1 + b - f + 1) - f \leq b + 1$ , therefore either  $f = 1, U_1 = -b \leq -4, V = -1$  or  $U_1 \geq f - b$ . In the first case using (8) we get that  $U \leq b - b(b+1) + N - 1 = -b$ . Suppose that  $U_1 = -b, V_1 = -1, f = 1$ . It follows from (9) that  $V = -b^2 - b + 1 < -1$  which is a contradiction. In the second case, by (8) we get that  $U \leq -fU_1 - b(b+1) + N - 1 \leq -b - 1 < -b$ which is a contradiction as well. Hence if  $U + V\omega \in \mathcal{P}$  then  $V \leq b$ . Suppose that  $V_1 = -1$ . Then using (9) we have that  $-1 \leq V = b(U_1 - 1) + f \leq b$ therefore  $U_1 \leq 1$ . Since  $U \geq -fU_1 + b$  therefore  $U_1 \geq 0$ . If  $U_1 = 0$  then  $b-1 \leq f \leq 2b$  and by (9) we get that V = f - b. Suppose that  $U_1 \geq b, V_1 = b$ f-b. Then by (9) we have that  $V = b(U_1+f-b) - f(f-b) = 2fb - f^2 + bc \le b$  $(c \ge 0)$ . It means that c = 0 or 1. Moreover, in both cases the only solution is 2b = f, which contradicts either to (f, b) = 1 or to  $|\alpha| \ge 3$ . Suppose that  $U_1 = 1, V_1 = -1$ . It follows from (8), (9) that  $U \ge b - f$  and  $V = f \le b$ . This can happen iff b = f + 1. Now, suppose that  $U_1 = 1, V_1 = b - 1$ . Then using (9) we get that  $V = b^2 - f(b-1) = b + f$ , which is not possible. It means that if  $U + V\omega \in \mathcal{P}$  then  $0 \leq V \leq b$ . Let furthermore  $E \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $V_1 = 0$ . Clearly, it is enough to consider the expansion of -1. Since  $-1 = -1 + N - \alpha \overline{\alpha}, \ -\overline{\alpha} = \alpha - 2a - b$  therefore if  $2a + b \leq 0$  then  $-1 \in \mathcal{B}(0)$ , if 2a + b > 0 then  $-\overline{\alpha} = \alpha - 2a - b + N - \alpha \overline{\alpha}$ . Obviously  $2a + b \le N - 1$  and  $1 - \overline{\alpha} = \alpha - 2a - b + 1$  therefore we can conclude that if  $2a + b \ge 2$  then  $-1 \in \mathcal{B}(1 - \overline{\alpha})$  else  $-1 \in \mathcal{B}(0)$ . Suppose that  $V_1 = b$ . It follows from (9) that  $V = b(U_1 + b - f) \le b$ . Clearly, it is enough to consider the case  $U_1 = f - b + 1$ . The previous deduction shows that  $U_1 + V_1 \omega \in \mathcal{P}$  iff  $2a + b \ge 2$ . We can also notice that there is not other periodic element with  $V_1 = b$ .

Subcase f < b. Suppose that  $U_1 = 1$ . Then by (9) we have that  $V = V_1(b-f) + b \leq b$ , therefore  $V_1 = 0$  which is a known case. Suppose that  $U_1 = f - b - c$  (c = 0, 1). Now  $0 \leq V = V_1(b-f) + b(f-b-c) \leq b$ , therefore  $V_1 = b, c = 0$  which is known as well. It means that if f < b and  $U + V\omega \in \mathcal{P}$  then  $f - b + 1 \leq U \leq 0$ .

Subcase b < f. Suppose that  $U_1 = -1$ . Then using (9) we have that  $0 \le V = V_1(b-f) - b \le b$  therefore  $V_1 < 0$  which is a contradiction. Suppose that  $U_1 = f - b + 1$ . Then  $0 \le V = V_1(b-f) + b(f-b+1) \le b$ , hence  $V_1 = b$  which is a known case.

If  $|\alpha| < 3$  then by Lemma 1 and by Theorem 1.1 the following cases remain. If a = 2, b = 1, E = 1 then  $-4 + 2\omega, -2 + \omega, 0 \in \mathcal{P}$  of period length one, if a = 2, b = 1, E = 2 then  $-2 + \omega, 0 \in \mathcal{P}$  of period length one, if a = 1, b = 1, E = 1 then  $-2 + 2\omega, -1 + \omega, 0 \in \mathcal{P}$  of period length one, if  $a = 1, b = 1, E = 2, \ldots, 6$  then  $-1 + \omega, 0 \in \mathcal{P}$  of period length one, if a = 1, b = 2, E = 1 then  $-3 + 3\omega, -2 + 2\omega, -1 + \omega, 0 \in \mathcal{P}$  of period length one, if a = -1, b = 2, E = 1, 2 then  $\omega, 0 \in \mathcal{P}$  of period length one, if a = -1, b = 3, E = 1 then  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}) = \{\omega \to -1 + 2\omega \to \omega, 0 \to 0\}$ , if a = -2, b = 3, E = 1 then  $2\omega, \omega, 0 \in \mathcal{P}$  of period length one, if a = -3, b = 2, E = 1 then  $1 + \omega, 0 \in \mathcal{P}$  of period length one. The proof is completed.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.2** If E = 1, a = 1 or if E = 1, a = b + 1 then  $\#\mathcal{P} \leq b + 2$ , if  $E \geq 2$  or  $E = 1, a > 1, a \neq b + 1$  or  $1 \leq f < b$  and  $2a + b \geq 2$  then  $\#\mathcal{P} \leq b + 1$ , else  $\#\mathcal{P} \leq b$ .

**Proof** Since there does not exist any  $\rho \in T_1$  (resp.  $T_2$ ),  $(\rho \neq 0)$  for which  $\rho \equiv 0$  ( $\alpha$ ) therefore by Statement 1, (2) and by Theorem 3.2 we have that if  $U + V\omega \in \mathcal{P}$  then there is not any  $Z, (Z \neq U)$  for which  $Z + V\omega \in \mathcal{P}$ .  $\Box$ 

# 4 Structure of periodic elements

Let  $b \geq 2$  and let  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu} = \{P + Q\delta \in I, (b, Q) = \mu\}$ . Obviously,  $I = \bigcup_{\mu \mid b} \mathcal{L}_{\mu}$ . Now, we shall examine the case  $\mu < b$ . In virtue of (6) and (9) it is easy to see that if  $(V, b) = \mu$  then  $(V_1, b) = \mu$ . Hence the function  $\Phi$  maps  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}$  to  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}$ for each  $\mu \mid b$ . Let  $b_{\mu} = b/\mu$ . **Theorem 4** There is a finite decomposition of  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}$  into  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}^{(j)}$ ,  $(j = 0, \ldots, l_{\mu} - 1)$  for which if  $\pi \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu}^{(j)}$  then  $\Phi(\pi) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu}^{(j)}$  for every  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$ . The length of period of  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$  is  $\varphi(b_{\mu})/l_{\mu}$ , where  $\varphi$  denotes the Euler totient function.

**Proof** Let  $X = V/\mu$ ,  $X_1 = V_1/\mu$ . Then from (6) we have that  $X = b_\mu U_1 + aX_1$  and from (9) we get that  $X = b_\mu (U_1 + V_1) + aX_1$ . Clearly, in both cases  $X \equiv aX_1 \pmod{b_\mu}$ ,  $(X, b_\mu) = (X_1, b_\mu) = 1$ . Let us denote by  $\mathbb{Z}_{b_\mu}^*$  the set of reduced residue classes modulo  $b_\mu$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{Z}_{b_\mu}^* = \{m \pmod{b_\mu}, (m, b_\mu) = 1\}$ . Let  $T_\mu$  denotes the cyclic subgroup  $\langle a \rangle$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{b_\mu}^*$  and let  $t_\mu = \operatorname{ord}(a)$ . By Lagrange theorem,  $\varphi(b_\mu) = l_\mu t_\mu$ , hence the order of the factor group  $\mathbb{Z}_{b_\mu}^*/T_\mu$  is  $l_\mu$ . So we have a decomposition  $\mathbb{Z}_{b_\mu}^* = H_0 \cup H_1 \cup \ldots \cup H_{l_\mu-1}$ , where  $H_0 = T_\mu$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}^{(j)} = \{\gamma = P + Q\delta, \ \gamma \in \mathcal{L}_\mu, \ Q/\mu \pmod{b_\mu} \in H_j\}$ . Finally, we have the decomposition by  $\mathcal{L}_\mu = \mathcal{L}_{\mu}^{(0)} \cup \mathcal{L}_{\mu}^{(1)} \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{L}_{\mu}^{(l_\mu-1)}$ . The proof is completed.  $\Box$ 

### Remark

Consider the graph  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P})$ . Theorem 4 states that for a fixed a and b ( $b \geq 2$ ) there are  $\tau(b)$  different sets  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}$ , in each there exist  $l_{\mu} = \varphi(b_{\mu})/\operatorname{ord}_{b_{\mu}} a$  cycles with period length  $t_{\mu} = \operatorname{ord}_{b_{\mu}}$ . If  $b_{\mu}$  is prime then there is only one cycle in  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}$  with period length  $b_{\mu} - 1$ . If  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{b_{\mu}}$  then there are only loops in  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}$  and the number of them is  $\varphi(b_{\mu})$ .

# 5 Number of periodic elements

We have seen in the previous section that for each  $\mu \mid b$  and for each  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, l_{\mu} - 1$  there exist at least one period-cycle in  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}^{(j)}$ . The length of a period in  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}^{(j)}$  is a multiple of  $t_{\mu}$ , so it is at least  $t_{\mu}$ . This means that  $\#\mathcal{P} \geq \sum_{\mu \mid b} t_{\mu} l_{\mu}$ . Since  $t_{\mu} l_{\mu} = \varphi(b_{\mu})$  therefore  $\#\mathcal{P} \geq \sum_{\mu \mid b} \varphi(b/\mu) = b$ . Keeping in mind the theorems and lemmas proved in this paper we have the following result.

**Theorem 5** Let  $b \ge 1$ . Let  $\alpha = a + b\delta$ . If  $a \ge 1$  then  $\#\mathcal{P} = b + 1$ , if  $-a \ge 1$  then  $\#\mathcal{P} = b$ . Let  $\alpha = a + b\omega$ . If E = 1, a = 1 or if E = 1, a = b + 1 then  $\#\mathcal{P} = b + 2$ , if  $E \ge 2$  or  $E = 1, a > 1, a \ne b + 1$  or  $1 \le f < b$  and  $2a + b \ge 2$  then  $\#\mathcal{P} = b + 1$ , else  $\#\mathcal{P} = b$ . If  $b \le -1$  then apply (3).

# References

[1] Gilbert, W.J., Radix representation of quadratic fields, J. Math. Anal. Appl.

**83**, 1991. 264–274.

- [2] Kátai, I., Number systems in imaginary quadratic fields, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest, Sect. Comp. 14, 1994. 91–103.
- [3] Kátai, I., Kovács B., Kanonische Zahlensysteme bei reelen quadratischen algebraischen Zahlen, Acta Sci. Math. 42, 1980, 99–107.
- [4] Kátai, I., Kovács, B., Canonical number systems in imaginary quadratic fields, Acta Math. Hung. 37, 1981. 159–164.
- [5] Kovács, A., On computation of attractors for invertible expanding linear operators in  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ , Publ. Math. Debrecen, to appear
- [6] Kovács, A., On expansions of Gaussian integers with non-negative digits, Mathematica Pannonica, 10 (2), 1999. 177–191.

Attila Kovács Department of Computer Algebra Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary attila@compalg.inf.elte.hu